

#### **SUMMARY PAGE**

Name of Facility: City of Griffin – Potato Creek WPCP

NPDES Permit No.: GA0030791

This is a reissuance of the NPDES permit for the Potato Creek WPCP. Up to 2.0 MGD (monthly average) of treated domestic wastewater is discharged to Potato Creek in the Flint River Basin. The permit also includes effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for the expanded flow of 3.0 MGD.

The permit expired on June 30, 2019 and became administratively extended.

The permit was placed on public notice from September 4, 2019 to October 16, 2019.

# Please Note The Following Changes to the Proposed NPDES Permit From The Existing Permit:

Part I.B.1 – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements (2 MGD):

- Removed section I.B.1.a as the compliance schedule for total recoverable copper has been completed.
- Reduced I.B.1 total recoverable copper limit from 8.8 μg/L to 8.6 μg/L based on updated stream monitoring data.
- Revised the seasonal monthly average five-day biochemical oxygen demand limits to 10.0 mg/L year-round based on demonstrated performance and facility design.
- Revised the seasonal monthly dissolved oxygen limits to 6.0 mg/L year-round based on demonstrated performance and facility design.
- Revised WET limit to NOEC ≥ 98% to reflect the updated stream flow information and Instream Wastewater Concentration (IWC)
- Added orthophosphate, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen monitoring requirements to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Flint River Basin.
- Revised the seasonal monthly average ammonia limits from 4.1–17.4 mg/L to 1.0–4.8 mg/L in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017.
- Included bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate monitoring for 12 months as this is a pollutant of concern.
- Removed permit requirements to conduct Priority Pollutant Scans and Effluent Testing Data as these requirements are part of the permit renewal application process, not permit monitoring.
- Removed effluent limits for total residual chlorine at the request of the permittee as chlorine is no longer used for disinfection.
- Removed monitoring for 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol as these requirements have been completed.

# Part I.B.2 – Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements (3 MGD):

- Revised Instream Wastewater Concentration (IWC) requirement from 94% to 99% for WET testing to reflect updated stream flow information.
- Included I.B.1 WET limit of NOEC ≥ 99%. An effluent toxicity evaluation will be conducted once the facility is operating at 3.0 MGD.
- Revised the seasonal monthly average five-day biochemical oxygen demand limits to 9.0 mg/L year-round based on facility design.
- Added orthophosphate, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen monitoring requirements to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Flint River Basin.
- Included total recoverable copper limits and instream hardness monitoring requirements.
- Included bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate monitoring for 12 months as this is a pollutant of concern.
- Removed monitoring for 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol as these requirements have been completed.
- Removed permit requirements to conduct Effluent Testing Data as this requirement is a part of the permit renewal application process, not permit monitoring.

# Standard Conditions and Boilerplate Modifications:

The permit boilerplate includes modified language or added language consistent with current NPDES permits.

# Final Permit Determinations and Public Comments:

$\boxtimes$	Final issued permit did not change from the draft permit placed on public notice.
	Public comments were received during public notice period.
	Public hearing was held on
	Final permit includes changes from the draft permit placed on public notice. See attached
	permit revisions and/or permit fact sheet revisions.



# Richard E. Dunn, Director

EPD Director's Office 2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive Suite 1456, East Tower Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Dr. Brant Keller, Public Works Director City of Griffin Post Office Box T Griffin, Georgia 30224 OCT 2 1 2019

RE: Permit Issuance

Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant

NPDES Permit No. GA0030791 Lamar County, Flint River Basin

404-656-4713

Dear Mr. Keller:

Pursuant to the Georgia Water Quality Control Act, as amended; the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended; and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, we have today issued the attached National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the referenced wastewater treatment facility.

Your facility has been assigned to the following EPD office for reporting and compliance:

Georgia Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Compliance Program
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive
Suite 1152 East
Atlanta, GA 30334

Please be advised that on and after the effective date indicated in the attached NPDES permit, the permittee must comply with all the terms, conditions and limitations of this permit.

If you have any questions, please contact Stephanie Reed at 404-463-0665 or stephanie.reed@dnr.ga.gov.

Sincerely,

Richard E. Dunn

Director

RED\sr

Attachment: NPDES Permit No. GA0030791, Fact Sheet

c: Hsin Yeh, EPD Municipal Compliance (Hsin-Sheng. Yehz@dnr.ga.gov)

Robert Clark, City of Griffin (RClark@cityofgriffin.com)

Permit No. GA0030791 Issuance Date: OCT 2 1 2019



# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION**

# NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT

In accordance with the provisions of the Georgia Water Quality Control Act (Georgia Laws 1964, p. 416, as amended), hereinafter called the State Act; the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (33 U.S. C. 1251 et seq.), hereinafter called the Federal Act; and the Rules and Regulations promulgated pursuant to each of these Acts,

City of Griffin P.O. Box T Griffin, Georgia 30224

is authorized to discharge from a facility located at

Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant 1150 County Line Road Griffin, Georgia 30224 (Spalding County)

to receiving waters

Potato Creek (Flint River Basin)

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in the permit.

This permit is issued in reliance upon the permit application signed on December 20, 2018, any other applications upon which this permit is based, supporting data entered therein or attached thereto, and any subsequent submittal of supporting data.

This permit shall become effective on November 1, 2019.

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, October 31, 2024.



Director,

**Environmental Protection Division** 

2: ll 50

Page 2 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

# PART I

EPD is the Environmental Protection Division of the Department of Natural Resources.

The Federal Act referred to is The Clean Water Act.

The State Act referred to is The Water Quality Control Act (Act No. 870).

The State Rules referred to are The Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control (Chapter 391-3-6).

#### A. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

#### 1. MONITORING

The concentration of pollutants in the discharge will be limited as indicated by the table(s) labeled "Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements."

- a. The monthly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a calendar month.
- b. The weekly average, other than for fecal coliform bacteria, is the arithmetic mean of values obtained for samples collected during a 7-day period. The week begins 12:00 midnight Saturday and ends at 12:00 midnight the following Saturday. To define a different starting time for the sampling period, the permittee must notify the EPD in writing. For reporting required by Part I.D.1. of this permit, a week that starts in one month and ends in another month shall be considered part of the second month. The permittee may calculate and report the weekly average as a 7-day moving average.
- c. Fecal coliform bacteria will be reported as the geometric mean of the values for the samples collected during the time periods in I.A.1.a. and I.A.1.b.
- d. Untreated wastewater influent samples required by I.B. shall be collected before any return or recycle flows. These flows include returned activated sludge, supernatants, centrates, filtrates, and backwash.
- e. Effluent samples required by I.B. of this permit shall be collected after the final treatment process and before discharge to receiving waters. Composite samples may be collected before disinfection with written EPD approval.
- f. A composite sample shall consist of a minimum of 5 subsamples collected at least once every 2 hours for at least 8 hours and shall be composited proportionately to flow.
- g. Flow measurements shall be conducted using the flow measuring device(s) in accordance with the approved design of the facility. If instantaneous measurements are required, then the permittee shall have a primary flow measuring device that is correctly installed and maintained. If continuous recording measurements are required, then flow measurements must be made using continuous recording equipment. Calibration shall be maintained of the continuous recording instrumentation to ± 10% of the actual flow.

Page 3 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

Flow shall be measured manually to check the flow meter calibration at a frequency of once a month. If secondary flow instruments are in use and malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required, the flow shall be computed from manual measurements or by other method(s) approved by EPD until such time as the secondary flow instrument is repaired. For facilities which utilize alternate technologies for measuring flow, the flow measurement device must be calibrated semi-annually by qualified personnel.

Records of the calibration checks shall be maintained.

- h. If secondary flow instruments malfunction or fail to maintain calibration as required in I.A.1.g., the flow shall be computed from manual measurements taken at the times specified for the collection of composite samples.
- i. Some parameters will be reported as "not detected" when they are below the detection limit and will then be considered in compliance with the effluent limit. The detection limit will also be reported.

# 2. SLUDGE DISPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Sludge shall be disposed of according to the regulations and guidelines established by the EPD and the Federal Act section 405(d) and (e), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). In land applying nonhazardous municipal sewage sludge, the permittee shall comply with the general criteria outlined in the most current version of the EPD "Guidelines for Land Application of Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) at Agronomic Rates" and with the State Rules, Chapter 391-3-6-.17. Before disposing of municipal sewage sludge by land application or any method other than co-disposal in a permitted sanitary landfill, the permittee shall submit a sludge management plan to EPD for written approval. This plan will become a part of the NPDES Permit after approval and modification of the permit. The permittee shall notify the EPD of any changes planned in an approved sludge management plan.

If an applicable management practice or numerical limitation for pollutants in sewage sludge is promulgated under Section 405(d) of the Federal Act after approval of the plan, then the plan shall be modified to conform with the new regulations.

# 3. SLUDGE MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall develop and implement procedures to ensure adequate year-round sludge disposal. The permittee shall monitor and maintain records documenting the quantity of sludge removed from the facility. Records shall be maintained documenting that the quantity of solids removed from the facility equals the solids generated on an average day. The total quantity of sludge removed from the facility during the reporting period shall be reported each month with the Discharge Monitoring Reports as required under Part I.D.1. of this permit. The quantity shall be reported on a dry weight basis (dry tons).

4. INTRODUCTION OF POLLUTANTS INTO THE PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTW)

The permittee must notify EPD of:

- a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger that would be subject to Sections 301 or 306 of the Federal Act if the pollutants were directly discharged to a receiving stream; and
- b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants from a source that existed when the permit was issued.

This notice shall include information on the quality and quantity of the indirect discharge introduced and any anticipated impact on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

# 5. EFFLUENT TOXICITY AND BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established by section 307(a) of the Federal Act and with Chapter 391-3-6-.03(5)(e) of the State Rules and may not discharge toxic pollutants in concentrations or combinations that are harmful to humans, animals, or aquatic life.

If toxicity is suspected in the effluent, the EPD may require the permittee to perform any of the following actions:

- a. Acute biomonitoring tests;
- b. Chronic biomonitoring tests;
- c. Stream studies;
- d. Priority pollutant analyses;
- e. Toxicity reduction evaluations (TRE); or
- f. Any other appropriate study.

The EPD will specify the requirements and methodologies for performing any of these tests or studies. Unless other concentrations are specified by the EPD, the critical concentration used to determine toxicity in biomonitoring tests will be the effluent instream wastewater concentration (IWC) based on the permitted monthly average flow of the facility and the critical low flow of the receiving stream (7Q10). The endpoints that will be reported are the effluent concentration that is lethal to 50% of the test organisms (LC50) if the test is for acute toxicity and the no observed effect concentration (NOEC) of effluent if the test is for chronic toxicity.

The permittee must eliminate effluent toxicity and supply the EPD with data and evidence to confirm toxicity elimination.

# B.1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – PHASE I

Discharge to Potato Creek - Outfall #001 (33.186971°, -84.226660°):

The discharge from the water pollution control plant shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below starting on the effective date of the permit and continuing until EPD provides approval of construction completion and written authorization to operate under the B.2. effluent limitations (3.0 MGD):

Parameters	Discharge limitations in mg/L (kg/day) unless otherwise specified		Monitoring Requirement		nts
	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Flow (MGD)	2.0	2.5	Seven Days/Week	Continuous Recording	Effluent
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (1)	10 (75.8)	15.0 (94.8)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Total Suspended Solids (1)	30 (227.5)	45 (284.3)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Ammonia, as N (2)			Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
Jamiary - February	4.8 (36.4)	7.2 (45.5)			
March - May	3.0 (22.7)	4.5 (28.4)			
June - November	1.0 (7.6)	1.5 (9.5)			
December	4.8 (36.4)	7.2 (45.5)			
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	400	Two Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Total Recoverable Copper (µg/L)	8.6 (0.065)	11.6 (0.088)	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent

<sup>(1)</sup> Numeric limits only apply to the effluent.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN - ammonia, as N.

# B.1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – PHASE I (CONTINUED)

Discharge to Potato Creek - Outfall #001 (33.186971°, -84.226660°)

	Discharge limitations in	Monitoring Requirements		
Parameters	mg/L unless otherwise specified	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
Total Suspended Solids Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below
pH, Daily Minimum - Daily Maximum (Standard Unit),	6.0 - 8.5	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Dissolved Oxygen, Daily Minimum	6.0	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Total Phosphorus, as P (2)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Orthophosphate, as P (2)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Organic Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Nitrate-Nitrite, as N <sup>(3)</sup>	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ( $\mu$ g/L) <sup>(4)</sup>	Report	One Day/Month	Grab	Effluent
Long Term Biochemical Oxygen Demand (5)	Report	See Below	Composite	Effluent
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (%) (6)	NOEC ≥ 98%	See Below	Composite	Effluent

Percent removal shall be calculated from monthly average influent and effluent concentrations. Influent and effluent samples shall be collected at approximately the same time.

- (4) Refer to Part I.C.10. BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE MONITORING
- (5) Refer to Part I.C.12. LONG-TERM BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND TESTING.
- (6) Refer to Part I.C.9. CHRONIC WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET).

Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN – ammonia, as N

# B.2. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – PHASE II

# Discharge to Potato Creek - Outfall #001 (33.186971°, -84.226660°):

The discharge from the water pollution control plant shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below effective on the date EPD provides written approval of construction completion and written authorization to operate under the B.2. effluent limitations (3.0 MGD):

Parameters	Discharge limitations in mg/L (kg/day) unless otherwise specified		Monitoring Requirements		ts
	Monthly Average	Weekly Average	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location
Flow (MGD)	3.0	3.75	Seven Days/Week	Continuous Recording	Effluent
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (1)	9.0 (102.4)	13.5 (127.9)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Total Suspended Solids (1)	20 (227.5)	30 (284.3)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Influent & Effluent
Ammonia, as N (2)			Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
January - February	2.2 (25.0)	3.3 (31.3)			
March - May	1.2 (13.6)	1.8 (17.1)			
June - November	0.7 (8.0)	1.1 (10.0)			
December	2.2 (25.0)	3.3 (31.3)	-		
Total Phosphorus, as P (3)	1.0 (11.4)	1.5 (14.2)	Three Days/Week	Composite	Effluent
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	400	Two Days/Week	Grab	Effluent
Total Recoverable Copper (µg/L)	8.5 (0.097)	11.6 (0.132)	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent

<sup>(1)</sup> Numeric limits only apply to the effluent.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN – ammonia, as N.

Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.

# B.2. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS – PHASE II (CONTINUED)

Discharge to Potato Creek - Outfall #001 (33.186971° -84.226660°):

_	Discharge limitations in			rements	
Parameters	mg/L unless otherwise specified	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Location	
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below	
Total Suspended Solids Removal, Minimum (%) (1)	85	See Below	See Below	See Below	
pH, Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum (Standard Unit),	6.0 – 8.5	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent	
Dissolved Oxygen, Daily Minimum	6.0	Seven Days/Week	Grab	Effluent	
Orthophosphate, as P (2)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent	
Organic Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent	
Nitrate-Nitrite, as N <sup>(3)</sup>	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, as N (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Composite	Effluent	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (μg/L) (4)	Report	One Day/Month	Grab	Effluent	
Priority Pollutants (5)	Report	See Below	Composite	Effluent	
Long Term Biochemical Oxygen Demand (6)	Report	See Below	Composite	Effluent	
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (%) (7)	<b>NOEC</b> ≥ 99%	See Below	Composite	Effluent	

Percent removal shall be calculated from monthly average influent and effluent concentrations. Influent and effluent samples shall be collected at approximately the same time.

- (4) Refer to Part I.C.10. BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE MONITORING
- (5) Refer to Part I.C.11. PRIORITY POLLUTANTS.
- (6) Refer to Part I.C.12. LONG-TERM BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND TESTING.
- (7) Refer to Part I.C.9. CHRONIC WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET).

Total phosphorus and orthophosphate must be analyzed from the same sample.

Ammonia, organic nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) must be analyzed or calculated from the same sample. Organic nitrogen, as N = TKN - ammonia, as N

# B.3. INSTREAM SURFACE WATER QUALITY MONITORING

# Potato Creek:

The receiving stream shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

Parameters	Instream Surface Water Quality	Monitoring Requirements		
	Monitoring	Measurement Frequency	Sample Type	Sample Locations
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) (1)	Report	One Day/Week	Grab	Upstream and Downstream
Total Hardness, as CaCO <sub>3</sub> (mg/L) (2) (3)	Report	One Day/Month	Grab	Downstream

Upstream sampling location refers to approximately 100 ft. upstream from the discharge at County Line Road.

Downstream sampling location #1 refers to the crossing of Potato Creek with Camp Road. Downstream location #2 refers to the crossing of Potato Creek with Walton Road.

Samples for total hardness should be taken concurrently with effluent sample for total recoverable copper.

Downstream sampling location refers to the crossing of Potato Creek with Camp Road.

# C. MONITORING AND REPORTING

#### 1. REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLING

Samples and measurements of the monitored waste shall represent the volume and nature of the waste stream. The permittee shall maintain a written sampling and monitoring schedule.

#### 2. SAMPLING PERIOD

- a. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, quarterly samples shall be taken during the periods January-March, April-June, July-September, and October-December.
- b. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, semiannual samples shall be taken during the periods January-June and July-December.
- c. Unless otherwise specified in this permit, annual samples shall be taken during the period of January-December.

# 3. MONITORING PROCEDURES

All analytical methods, sample containers, sample preservation techniques, and sample holding times must be consistent with the techniques and methods listed in 40 CFR Part 136. The analytical method used shall be sufficiently sensitive. EPA-approved methods must be applicable to the concentration ranges of the NPDES permit samples.

# 4. RECORDING OF RESULTS

For each required parameter analyzed, the permittee shall record:

- a. The exact place, date, and time of sampling, and the person(s) collecting the sample. For flow proportioned composite samples, this shall include the instantaneous flow and the corresponding volume of each sample aliquot, and other information relevant to document flow proportioning of composite samples;
- b. The dates and times the analyses were performed;
- c. The person(s) who performed the analyses;
- d. The analytical procedures or methods used; and
- e. The results of all required analyses.

# ADDITIONAL MONITORING BY PERMITTEE

If the permittee monitors required parameters at the locations designated in I.B. more frequently than required, the permittee shall analyze all samples using approved analytical methods specified in I.C.3. The results of this additional monitoring shall be included in calculating and reporting the values on the Discharge Monitoring Report forms. The permittee shall indicate the monitoring frequency on the report. The EPD may require in writing more frequent monitoring, or monitoring of other pollutants not specified in this permit.

## 6. RECORDS RETENTION

The permittee shall retain records of:

- a. All laboratory analyses performed including sample data, quality control data, and standard curves;
- b. Calibration and maintenance records of laboratory instruments;
- c. Calibration and maintenance records and recordings from continuous recording instruments;
- d. Process control monitoring records;
- e. Facility operation and maintenance records;
- f. Copies of all reports required by this permit;
- g. All data and information used to complete the permit application; and
- h. All monitoring data related to sludge use and disposal.

These records shall be kept for at least three years. Sludge handling records must be kept for at least five years. Either period may be extended by EPD written notification.

#### 7. PENALTIES

Both the Federal and State Acts provide that any person who falsifies or tampers with any monitoring device or method required under this permit, or who makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record submitted or required by this permit shall, if convicted, be punished by a fine or by imprisonment or by both. The Acts include procedures for imposing civil penalties for violations or for negligent or intentional failure or refusal to comply with any final or emergency order of the Director of the EPD.

#### 8. WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN

The permittee has a Watershed Protection Plan that has been approved by EPD. The permittee's approved Watershed Protection Plan shall be enforceable through this permit.

Page 12 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

Each June 30<sup>th</sup> the permittee is to submit the following to EPD:

- a. An annual certification statement documenting that the plan is being implemented as approved. The certification statement shall read as follows: "I certify, under penalty of law, that the Watershed Protection Plan is being implemented. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
- b. All Watershed Plan data collected during the previous year in an electronic format. This data shall be archived using a digital format such as a spreadsheet developed in coordination with EPD. All archived records, data, and information pertaining to the Watershed Protection Plan shall be maintained permanently.
- c. A progress report that provides a summary of the BMPs that have been implemented and documented water quality improvements. The progress report shall also include any necessary changes to the Watershed Protection Plan.

The report and other information shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Planning and Monitoring Program
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE
Suite 1152 East
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

- 9. CHRONIC WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET)
  - a. Part I.B.1 (2.0 MGD)

The permittee must conduct annual chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests. The testing must be conducted in accordance with the most current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) chronic aquatic toxicity testing manuals. The referenced document is entitled Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 4th Edition, U.S. EPA, 821-R-02-013, October 2002. Definitive tests must be run on the same samples concurrently using both an invertebrate species (i.e., Ceriodaphnia dubia) and a vertebrate species (i.e., Pimephales promelas). The testing must include a dilution equal to the facility's instream wastewater concentration (IWC) of 98%.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. An effluent discharge will not be considered toxic if the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) is greater than or equal to the Instream Wastewater Concentration (TWC) of 98%. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity, the permittee may be required to perform additional tests or studies in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit.

# b. Part I.B.2 (3.0 MGD)

The permittee shall conduct one chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test <u>for four consecutive quarters</u> after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (3.0 MGD), with the first test conducted within 90 days of the authorization. The testing must be conducted in accordance with the most current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) chronic aquatic toxicity testing manuals. The referenced document is entitled Short-Term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, U.S. EPA, 821-R-02-013, October 2002. Definitive tests must be run on the same samples concurrently using both an invertebrate species (i.e., *Ceriodaphnia dubia*) and a vertebrate species (i.e., *Pimephales promelas*). The testing must include a dilution equal to the facility's instream wastewater concentration (IWC) of 99%.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. An effluent discharge will not be considered toxic if the No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC) is greater than or equal to the Instream Wastewater Concentration (IWC) of 99%. The results of the tests shall be submitted to EPD with the permittee's monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Within thirteen months of receiving authorization to operate under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (3.0 MGD), the permittee shall submit a report to EPD that includes a summary of the effluent data collected as well as copies of all the analytical laboratory reports. The report shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division Wastewater Regulatory Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Upon receipt of the report, EPD will evaluate the results. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity, the permittee may be required to perform additional tests or studies in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit and/or the permit may be modified to include a chronic WET.limit.

# 10. BIS(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE MONITORING (PARTS I.B.1 and I.B.2)

Upon the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall collect and analyze one sample per month of bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in the effluent. Monitoring for this parameter shall continue for a period of twelve months.

Within thirteen months of the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall submit a report to EPD that includes a summary of the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate effluent data collected as well as copies of all the analytical laboratory reports. The report shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Page 14 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

Environmental Protection Division Wastewater Regulatory Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Upon receipt of the report, EPD will conduct a reasonable potential evaluation, including any available data from priority pollutant scans. If it is determined that bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is present in the effluent at levels of concern, EPD will reopen the permit to include a limit for this pollutant. If it is demonstrated that bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in the effluent has no potential to cause or contribute to a water quality standards violation in the receiving stream, EPD will notify the permittee in writing to discontinue the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate monitoring requirements.

#### 11. PRIORITY POLLUTANTS

The permittee must conduct one scan of the priority pollutants for three consecutive quarters after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (3.0 MGD), with the first scan conducted within 90 days of the authorization. The priority pollutant scans must represent seasonal variation. Total recoverable mercury must be sampled and analyzed using EPA Method 1631E. The results of the tests shall be submitted to EPD with the permittee's monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports.

Within thirteen months of receiving authorization to operate under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (2.0 MGD), the permittee shall submit a report to EPD that includes a summary of the effluent data collected as well as copies of all the analytical laboratory reports. The report shall be submitted to EPD at the address below:

Environmental Protection Division Wastewater Regulatory Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Upon receipt of the report, EPD will conduct a reasonable potential evaluation. If substances are measured at levels of concern, then the permittee may be required to perform additional priority pollutant analyses in accordance with Part I.C.5 or the permit may be modified to include effluent limitations for priority pollutants.

# 12. LONG-TERM BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND TESTING

The permittee shall perform a 120-day Long-Term BOD test once during the permit cycle. The test should be performed on an effluent sample collected during the critical period from June 1 through September 30. The results of this test shall be submitted to EPD at least 180 days prior to the permit expiration date to the following address:

Environmental Protection Division
Watershed Planning and Monitoring Program
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE
Suite 1152 East
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

# D. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The permittee must electronically report the DMR, OMR and additional monitoring data using the web based electronic NetDMR reporting system, unless a waiver is granted by EPD.
  - a. The permittee must comply with the Federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Electronic Reporting regulations in 40 CFR §127. The permittee must electronically report the DMR, OMR, and additional monitoring data using the web based electronic NetDMR reporting system online at: <a href="https://netdmr.epa.gov/netdmr/public/home.htm">https://netdmr.epa.gov/netdmr/public/home.htm</a>
  - b. Monitoring results obtained during the calendar month shall be summarized for each month and reported on the DMR. The results of each sampling event shall be reported on the OMR and submitted as an attachment to the DMR.
  - c. The permittee shall submit the DMR, OMR and additional monitoring data no later than 11:59 p.m. on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the sampling period.
  - d. All other reports required herein, unless otherwise stated, shall be submitted to the EPD Office listed on the permit issuance letter signed by the Director of EPD.
- 2. <u>No later than December 21, 2020</u>, the permittee must electronically report the following compliance monitoring data and reports using the online web based electronic system approved by EPD, unless a waiver is granted by EPD:
  - a. Sewage Sludge/Biosolids Annual Program Reports provided that the permittee has an approved Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) Plan;
  - b. Pretreatment Program Reports provided that the permittee has an approved Industrial Pretreatment Program in this permit;
  - c. Sewer Overflow/Bypass Event Reports;
  - d. Noncompliance Notification;
  - e. Other noncompliance; and
  - f. Bypass

# 3. OTHER REPORTS

All other reports required in this permit not listed above in Part I.D.2 or unless otherwise stated, shall be submitted to the EPD Office listed on the permit issuance letter signed by the Director of EPD.

#### 4. OTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

All instances of noncompliance not reported under Part I.B. and Part II. A. shall be reported to EPD at the time the monitoring report is submitted.

# 5. SIGNATORY REQUIREMENTS

All reports, certifications, data or information submitted in compliance with this permit or requested by EPD must be signed and certified as follows:

- a. Any State or NPDES Permit Application form submitted to the EPD shall be signed as follows in accordance with the Federal Regulations, 40 C.F.R. 122.22:
  - 1. For a corporation, by a responsible corporate officer. A responsible corporate officer means:
    - i. a president, secretary, treasurer, or vice president of the corporation in charge of a principal business function, or any other person who performs similar policy- or decision making functions for the corporation, or
    - ii. the manager of one or more manufacturing, production, or operating facilities employing more than 250 persons or having gross annual sales or expenditures exceeding \$25 million (in second-quarter 1980 dollars), if authority to sign documents has been assigned or delegated to the manager in accordance with corporate procedures.
  - 2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
  - 3. For a municipality, State, Federal, or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.
- b. All other reports or requests for information required by the permit issuing authority shall be signed by a person designated in (a) above or a duly authorized representative of such person, if:
  - 1. The representative so authorized is responsible for the overall operation of the facility from which the discharge originates, e.g., a plant manager, superintendent or person of equivalent responsibility;
  - 2. The authorization is made in writing by the person designated under (a) above; and
  - 3. The written authorization is submitted to the Director.
- c. Any changes in written authorization submitted to the permitting authority under (b) above which occur after the issuance of a permit shall be reported to the permitting

Page 17 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

authority by submitting a copy of a new written authorization which meets the requirements of (b) and (b.1) and (b.2) above.

d. Any person signing any document under (a) or (b) above shall make the following certification:

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

## PART II

# A. MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

#### 1. PROPER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The permittee shall properly maintain and operate efficiently all treatment or control facilities and related equipment installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with this permit. Efficient operation and maintenance include effective performance, adequate funding, adequate operator staffing and training, and adequate laboratory and process controls, including appropriate quality assurance procedures. Back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems shall be operated only when necessary to achieve permit compliance.

#### 2. PLANNED CHANGE

Any anticipated facility expansions, or process modifications which will result in new, different, or increased discharges of pollutants requires the submission of a new NPDES permit application. If the changes will not violate the permit effluent limitations, the permittee may notify EPD without submitting an application. The permit may then be modified to specify and limit any pollutants not previously limited.

#### 3. TWENTY-FOUR HOUR REPORTING

If, for any reason the permittee does not comply with, or will be unable to comply with any effluent limitations specified in the permittee's NPDES permit, the permittee shall provide EPD with an oral report within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances followed by a written report within five (5) days of becoming aware of such condition. The written submission shall contain the following information:

- a. A description of the noncompliance and its cause; and
- b. The period of noncompliance, including the exact date and times; or, if not corrected, the anticipated time the noncompliance is expected to continue; and
- c. The steps taken to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncomplying discharge.

#### 4. ANTICIPATED NONCOMPLIANCE NOTIFICATION

The permittee shall give written notice to the EPD at least 10 days before:

- a. Any planned changes in the permitted facility; or
- b. Any activity which may result in noncompliance with the permit.

#### 5. OTHER NONCOMPLIANCE

The permittee must report all instances of noncompliance not reported under other specific reporting requirements, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information required under conditions of twenty-four hour reporting.

# 6. OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

#### **B.1. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

The person responsible for the daily operation of the facility must be a Class II Certified Operator in compliance with the Georgia State Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Plant Operators and Laboratory Analysts Act, as amended, and as specified by Subparagraph 391-3-6-12 of the Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control. All other operators must have the minimum certification required by this Act.

#### **B.2. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

The person responsible for the daily operation of the facility must be a Class I Certified Operator in compliance with the Georgia State Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Plant Operators and Laboratory Analysts Act, as amended, and as specified by Subparagraph 391-3-6-.12 of the Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control. All other operators must have the minimum certification required by this Act.

# 7. LABORATORY ANALYST CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Laboratory Analysts must be certified in compliance with the Georgia State Board of Examiners for Certification of Water and Wastewater Treatment Plant Operators and Laboratory Analysts Act, as amended.

#### 8. BYPASSING

Any diversion of wastewater from or bypassing of wastewater around the permitted treatment works is prohibited, except if:

- a. Bypassing is unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
- b. There are no feasible alternatives to bypassing; and
- c. The permittee notifies the EPD at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.

Feasible alternatives to bypassing include use of auxiliary treatment facilities and retention of untreated waste. The permittee must take all possible measures to prevent bypassing during routine preventative maintenance by installing adequate back-up equipment.

The permittee shall operate the facility and the sewer system to minimize discharge of pollutants from combined sewer overflows or bypasses and may be required by the EPD to submit a plan and schedule to reduce bypasses, overflows, and infiltration.

Any unplanned bypass must be reported following the requirements for noncompliance notification specified in  $\Pi$ .A.3. The permittee may be liable for any water quality violations that occur as a result of bypassing the facility.

# 9. **POWER FAILURES**

If the primary source of power to this water pollution control facility is reduced or lost, the permittee shall use an alternative source of power to reduce or control all discharges to maintain permit compliance.

#### 10. DUTY TO MITIGATE

The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge disposal which might adversely affect human health or the environment.

## 11. NOTICE CONCERNING ENDANGERING WATERS OF THE STATE

Whenever, because of an accident or otherwise, any toxic or taste and color producing substance, or any other substance which would endanger downstream users of the waters of the State or would damage property, is discharged into such waters, or is so placed that it might flow, be washed, or fall into them, it shall be the duty of the person in charge of such substances at the time to forthwith notify EPD in person or by telephone of the location and nature of the danger, and it shall be such person's further duty to immediately take all reasonable and necessary steps to prevent injury to property and downstream users of said water.

#### Spills and Major Spills:

A "spill" is any discharge of raw sewage by a Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) to the waters of the State.

# A "major spill" means:

- 1. The discharge of pollutants into waters of the State by a POTW that exceeds the weekly average permitted effluent limit for biochemical oxygen demand (5-day) or total suspended solids by 50 percent or greater in one day, provided that the effluent discharge concentration is equal to or greater than 25 mg/L for biochemical oxygen demand or total suspended solids.
- 2. Any discharge of raw sewage that 1) exceeds 10,000 gallons or 2) results in water quality violations in the waters of the State.

"Consistently exceeding effluent limitation" means a POTW exceeding the 30 day average limit for biochemical oxygen demand or total suspended solids for at least five days out of each seven day period during a total period of 180 consecutive days.

The following specific requirements shall apply to POTW's. If a spill or major spill occurs, the owner of a POTW shall immediately:

- a. Notify EPD, in person or by telephone, when a spill or major spill occurs in the system.
- b. Report the incident to the local health department(s) for the area affected by the incident.

  The report at a minimum shall include the following:
  - 1. Date of the spill or major spill;
  - 2. Location and cause of the spill or major spill;
  - 3. Estimated volume discharged and name of receiving waters; and
  - 4. Corrective action taken to mitigate or reduce the adverse effects of the spill or major spill.
- c. Post a notice as close as possible to where the spill or major spill occurred and where the spill entered State waters and also post additional notices along portions of the waterway affected by the incident (i.e. bridge crossings, boat ramps, recreational areas, and other points of public access to the affected waterway). The notice at a minimum shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above. These notices shall remain in place for a minimum of seven days after the spill or major spill has ceased.
- d. Within 24 hours of becoming aware of a spill or major spill, the owner of a POTW shall report the incident to the local media (television, radio, and print media). The report shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- e. Within 5 days (of the date of the spill or major spill), the owner of a POTW shall submit to EPD a written report which includes the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- f. Within 7 days (after the date of a major spill), the owner of a POTW responsible for the major spill, shall publish a notice in the largest legal organ of the County where the incident occurred. The notice shall include the same information required in 11(b)(1-4) above.
- g. The owner of a POTW shall immediately establish a monitoring program of the receiving waters affected by a major spill or by consistently exceeding an effluent limit, with such monitoring being at the expense of the POTW for at least one year. The monitoring program shall include an upstream sampling point as well as sufficient downstream locations to accurately characterize the impact of the major spill or the consistent exceedance of effluent limitations described in the definition of "Consistently exceeding effluent limitation" above. As a minimum, the following parameters shall be monitored in the receiving stream:
  - Dissolved Oxygen;
  - 2. Fecal Coliform Bacteria;
  - pH;
  - 4. Temperature; and
  - 5. Other parameters required by the EPD.

The monitoring and reporting frequency as well as the need to monitor additional parameters, will be determined by EPD. The results of the monitoring will be provided by the POTW owner to EPD and all downstream public agencies using the affected waters as a source of a public water supply.

h. Within 24 hours of becoming aware of a major spill, the owner of a POTW shall provide notice of a major spill to every county, municipality, or other public agency whose public water supply is within a distance of 20 miles downstream and to any others which could be potentially affected by the major spill.

#### 12. UPSET PROVISION

Provision under 40 CFR 122.41(n)(1)-(4), regarding "Upset" shall be applicable to any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding brought to enforce this permit.

#### B. RESPONSIBILITIES

#### 1. DUTY TO COMPLY

The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance is a violation of the Federal Clean Water Act, State Act, and the State Rules, and is grounds for:

- a. Enforcement action;
- b. Permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or
- c. Denial of a permit renewal application.

## 2. NEED TO HALT OR REDUCE ACTIVITY NOT A DEFENSE

It shall not be a defense of the permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.

# 3. INSPECTION AND ENTRY

The permittee shall allow the Director of the EPD, the Regional Administrator of EPA, and their authorized representatives, agents, or employees after they present credentials to:

- a. Enter the permittee's premises where a regulated activity or facility is located, or where any records required by this permit are kept;
- b. Review and copy any records required by this permit;
- c. Inspect any facilities, equipment, practices, or operations regulated or required by this permit; and
- d. Sample any substance or parameter at any location.

#### 4. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION

The permittee shall furnish any information required by the EPD to determine whether cause exists to modify, revoke and reissue, or terminate this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish the EPD with requested copies of records required by this permit.

#### TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP

A permit may be transferred to another person by a permittee if:

- a. The permittee notifies the Director in writing at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer;
- b. An agreement is written containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility including acknowledgment that the existing permittee is liable for violations up to that date, and that the new permittee is liable for violations from that date on. This agreement must be submitted to the Director at least 30 days in advance of the proposed transfer; and
- c. The Director does not notify the current permittee and the new permittee within 30 days of EPD intent to modify, revoke and reissue, or terminate the permit. The Director may require that a new application be filed instead of agreeing to the transfer of the permit.

# 6. AVAILABILITY OF REPORTS

Except for data determined to be confidential by the Director of EPD under O.C.G.A. 12-5-26 or by the Regional Administrator of EPA under the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40, Part 2, all reports prepared to comply with this permit shall be available for public inspection at an EPD office. Effluent data, permit applications, permittees' names and addresses, and permits shall not be considered confidential.

#### 7 PERMIT ACTIONS

This permit may be modified, terminated, or revoked and reissued in whole or in part during its term for causes including, but not limited to:

- a. Permit violations;
- b. Obtaining this permit by misrepresentation or by failure to disclose all relevant facts;
- c. Changing any condition that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the permitted discharge;
- d. Changes in effluent characteristics; and
- e. Violations of water quality standards.

The filing of a request by the permittee for permit modification, termination, revocation and reissuance, or notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not negate any permit condition.

# 8. CIVIL AND CRIMINAL LIABILITY

Nothing in this permit shall be construed to relieve the permittee from civil or criminal penalties for noncompliance.

#### PROPERTY RIGHTS

The issuance of this permit does not convey any property rights of either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, or any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations.

# 10. DUTY TO REAPPLY

The permittee shall submit an application for permit reissuance at least 180 days before the expiration date of this permit. The permittee shall not discharge after the permit expiration date. To receive authorization to discharge beyond the expiration date, the permittee shall submit the information, forms, and fees required by the EPD no later than 180 days before the expiration date.

#### 11. CONTESTED HEARINGS

Any person aggrieved or adversely affected by any action of the Director of the EPD shall petition the Director for a hearing within 30 days of notice of the action.

#### 12. SEVERABILITY

The provisions of this permit are severable. If any permit provision or the application of any permit provision to any circumstance is held invalid, the provision does not affect other circumstances or the remainder of this permit.

#### 13. OTHER INFORMATION

Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report form to the Director, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.

# 14. PREVIOUS PERMITS

All previous State wastewater permits issued to this facility, whether for construction or operation, are hereby revoked on the effective date of this permit. This action is taken to assure compliance with the Georgia Water Quality Control Act, as amended, and the Federal Clean Water Act, as amended. Receipt of the permit constitutes notice of such action. The conditions, requirements, terms and provisions of this permit authorizing discharge under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System govern discharges from this facility.

#### PART III

# INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM FOR PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTW)

- 1. The permittee may establish and operate an approved industrial pretreatment program.
- 2. If the EPD determines that the permittee is required to develop a local industrial pretreatment program, the permittee will be notified in writing. The permittee shall immediately begin development of an industrial pretreatment program and shall submit it to the EPD for approval no later than one year after the notification.
- 3. During the interim period between determination that a program is needed and approval of the program, all industrial pretreatment permits shall be issued by the EPD.
- 4. The permittee shall notify the EPD of all industrial users connected to the system or proposing to connect to the system from the date of issuance of this permit.
- 5. Implementation of the Pretreatment Program developed by the State can be delegated to the permittee following the fulfillment of requirements detailed in 391-3-6-.09 of the Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control.

# A. APPROVED INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT PROGRAM FOR PUBLICLY OWNED TREATMENT WORKS (POTWs)

- 1. The permittee's approved pretreatment program shall be enforceable through this permit. The permittee shall also comply with the provisions of 40 CFR 403.
- 2. The permittee shall administer the approved pretreatment program by:
  - a. Maintaining records identifying the character and volume of pollutants contributed by industrial users to the POTW.
  - b. Enforcing and obtaining appropriate remedies for noncompliance by any industrial user with any applicable pretreatment standard or requirement defined by Section 307(b) and (c) of the Federal Act, 40 CFR Part 403.5 and 403.6 or any State or local requirement, whichever is more stringent.
  - c. Revising the adopted local limits based on technical analyses to ensure that the local limits continue to prevent:
    - 1. Interference with the operation of the POTW;
    - 2. Pass-through of pollutants in violation of this permit;
    - 3. Municipal sludge contamination; and
    - 4. Toxicity to life in the receiving stream.

Within 180 days of the effective date of this permit issuance or reissuance (excluding permit modifications), the permittee shall review the local limits of the program and submit to EPD a written technical evaluation of the need to revise the local limits.

- d. Ensuring that industrial wastewater discharges from industrial users are regulated through discharge permits or equivalent individual control mechanisms. Compliance schedules will be required of each industrial user for the installation of control technologies to meet applicable pretreatment standards and the requirements of the approved program.
- e. Inspecting, surveying, and monitoring to determine if the industrial user is in compliance with the applicable pretreatment standards.
- f. Equitably maintaining and adjusting revenue levels to ensure adequate and continued pretreatment program implementation.
- g. Preparing a list of industrial users which, during the reporting period January 1 to December 31, have been in significant noncompliance with the pretreatment requirements enumerated in 40 CFR Part 403.8 (f)(2)(viii). This list will be published annually each January in the newspaper with the largest circulation in the service area.

# B. APPROVED PRETREATMENT PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT

- 1. Within 30 days of the close of the reporting period January 1 through December 31, the permittee shall submit a report to the EPD that includes:
  - a. An updated list of POTW industrial users;
  - b. The results of POTW sampling and analyses required by the EPD;
  - c. A summary of POTW industrial user inspections;
  - d. A summary of POTW operations including information on upsets, interferences, pass through events, or violations of the permit related to industrial user discharges;
  - e. A summary of all activities to involve and inform the public of pretreatment requirements;
  - f. A summary of the annual pretreatment program budget;
  - g. A descriptive summary of any compliance activities initiated, ongoing, or completed against industrial users which shall include the number of administrative orders, show cause hearings, penalties, civil actions, and fines;
  - h. A list of contributing industries using the treatment works, divided into Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC) categories, which have been issued permits or similar enforceable individual control mechanisms, and a status of compliance for each industrial user. The list should also identify the industries that are categorical or significant industrial users;
  - i. The name and address of each industrial user that has received a conditionally revised discharge limit;

- j. A list of all industrial users who were in significant noncompliance with applicable pretreatment standards and requirements;
- k. A list of all industrial users showing the date that each was notified that a categorical pretreatment standard had been promulgated by EPA for their industrial category and the status of each industrial user in achieving compliance within the 3 year period allowed by the Federal Act; and
- 1. A description of all substantial changes proposed for the program. All substantial changes must first be approved by the EPD before formal adoption by the POTW. Substantial changes shall include but not be limited to:
  - 1. Changes in legal authority;
  - 2. Changes in local limits;
  - 3. Changes in the control mechanisms;
  - 4. Changes in the method for implementing categorical pretreatment standards.
  - 5. A decrease in the frequency of self-monitoring or reporting required of industrial users:
  - 6. A decrease in the frequency of industrial user inspections or sampling by the POTW;
  - 7. Significant reductions in the program resources including personnel commitments, equipment, and funding levels;
  - 8. Changes in confidentiality procedures; and
  - 9. Changes in the POTW sludge disposal and management practices.
- 2. Reports submitted by an industrial user will be retained by the permittee for at least 3 years and shall be available to the EPD for inspection and copying. This period shall be extended during the course of any unresolved litigation concerning the discharge of pollutants by an industrial user or concerning the operations of the program or when requested by the Director.

# C. INDUSTRIAL PRETREATMENT STANDARDS

Effluent limitations for the permittee's discharge are listed in Part I. Other pollutants attributable to industrial users may also be present in the discharge. When sufficient information becomes available, this permit may be revised to specify effluent limitations for these pollutants based on best practicable technology or water quality standards. Once the specific nature of industrial contributions has been identified, data collection and reporting may be required for parameters not specified in Part I.

# D. REQUIREMENTS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS ON POLLUTANTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO INDUSTRIAL USERS

- 1. The permittee shall require all industrial dischargers to the POTW to meet State pretreatment regulations promulgated in response to Section 307(b) of the Federal Act. Other information about new industrial discharges may be required and will be requested from the permittee after the EPD has received notice of the discharge.
- 2. The permittee may be required to supplement the requirements of the State and Federal pretreatment regulations to ensure compliance with all applicable effluent limitations listed in Part I. Supplemental actions by the permittee concerning some or all of the industries discharging to the POTW may be necessary.

# STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

Page 28 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

# E. RETAINER

EPD may require the permittee to amend an approved pretreatment program to incorporate revisions in State Pretreatment Regulations or other EPD requirements. Any approved POTW pretreatment program identified by EPD that needs to modify its program to incorporate requirements that have resulted from revision to the Rules shall develop and submit those revisions to EPD no later than one (1) year of notification by EPD to modify the Program. Any modifications made to the approved pretreatment program must be incorporated into the permit and the program pursuant to Chapter 391-3-6-.09(7) of the State Rules. Implementation of any revision or amendments to the program shall be described in the subsequent annual report to the EPD.

## **PART IV**

#### APPROVED SLUDGE MANAGEMENT PLAN

- 1. The permittee's approved Sludge Management Plan shall be implemented in accordance with Chapter 391-3-6-.17 of the State Rules and EPD's, "Guidelines for Land Application of Sewage Sludge (Biosolids) at Agronomic Rates", unless a more stringent requirement is stated in this Permit, and shall be enforceable through this Permit.
- 2. The permittee will submit an annual report pertaining to the most recent calendar year, as required under Chapter 391-3-6-.17(14) of the State Rules. The annual report shall be submitted to EPD no later than February 19 of the following year.
- 3. The permittee will maintain records of the amount of sludge land applied to each site. The amount of sludge land applied during each calendar year will be reported in the annual report in units of dry tons per year.
- 4. The permittee will monitor in accordance with the following requirements:
  - a. The pH of the sludge and soil mixture from each field within each land application site will be measured once per year. The sample will be a separate, composite sample of each soil type present and will be representative of field conditions.
  - b. The sewage sludge shall be monitored for the following parameters at the frequencies specified in Part IV.5:

Parameter	Units*	
Total nitrogen	Percent	
Ammonia-nitrogen	Percent	
Nitrate-nitrogen	Percent	
Volatile solids	Percent	
Total solids	Percent	
PΗ	Standard units	
Arsenic	mg/kg	
Cadmium	mg/kg	
Copper	mg/kg	
Lead	mg/kg	
Mercury	mg/kg	
Molybdenum	mg/kg	
Nickel	mg/kg	
Selenium	mg/kg	
Zinc	mg/kg	

<sup>\*</sup>Units must be reported on a dry weight basis with the exception of pH.

- c. The pathogen density requirements listed in Chapter 391-3-6-.17(7) of the State Rules shall be monitored at the frequency listed in Part IV.5.
- d. The vector attraction reduction requirements listed in Chapter 391-3-6-.17(8)(a) through (8)(h) of the State Rules shall be monitored at the frequency listed in Part IV.5.

# STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION

Page 30 of 30 Permit No. GA0030791

5. Monitoring Frequency:

Amount of Sewage Sludge* (dry tons/year)	Frequency
0-300	Once/year
300-1,600	Once/quarter
1,600-16,000	Once/two months
>16,000	Once/month

<sup>\*</sup>The amount of sewage sludge refers to either the amount of bulk sewage sludge (dry weight) applied to the land or the amount of sewage sludge (dry weight) received by a preparer that sells or otherwise distributes sewage sludge for application to the land.

- 6. In accordance with Chapter 391-3-6-.17(12) of the State Rules, sewage sludge samples shall be analyzed using EPA approved methods contained in 40 CFR Part 503.8.
- 7. A proposed addition (or removal) of a new land application site(s) will be subject to EPD's review and approval process as outlined in the Guidelines for Land Application of Sewage Sludge (Biosolids). Upon written approval of the Director, addition or removal of a land application site(s) will be considered as amending the approved Sludge Management Plan and as an addendum to the permit.



# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION**

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division proposes to issue an NPDES permit to the applicant identified below. The draft permit places conditions on the discharge of pollutants from the wastewater treatment plant to waters of the State.

#### **Technical Contact:**

Stephanie Reed, Environmental Specialist stephanie.reed@dnr.ga.gov 404-463-0665

# **Draft permit:**

	First issuance
	Reissuance with no or minor modifications from previous permit
$\boxtimes$	Reissuance with substantial modifications from previous permit
	Modification of existing permit
$\times$	Requires EPA review

## 1. FACILITY INFORMATION

- 1.1 NPDES Permit No.: GA0030791
- 1.2 Name and Address of Owner/Applicant

City of Griffin P.O. Box T Griffin, Georgia 30224

1.3 Name and Address of Facility

Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant 1150 County Line Road Griffin, Georgia 30224

# 1.4 Location and Description of the Discharge (as reported by applicant)

Outfall #	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Receiving Waterbody
001	33.186971	-84.226660	Potato Creek

# 1.5 Permitted Design Capacity

Phase I (current): 2.0 MGD Phase II (future): 3.0 MGD

# 1.6 SIC Code and Description

SIC Code 4952 – Sewerage systems: Establishments primarily engaged in the collection and disposal of wastes conducted through a sewer system, including such treatment processes as may be provided.

# 1.7 Description of the Water Pollution Control Plant

Although the treatment process was upgraded and expanded to 3.0 MGD in August 2017, the facility is still operating under the Part I.B.1 effluent limitations (2.0 MGD). An operability inspection (see letter, Appendix E, September 2017) found no major deficiencies and verified that the new facility was ready to begin operation. However, the City has no need at this time to operate at 3.0 MGD and has requested to remain operating under Part I.B.1 effluent limitations.

The treatment process consists of screening, biological treatment (activated sludge using sequencing batch reactors), chemical addition for phosphorus removal and pH/alkalinity control, post equalization tank, filtration, UV disinfection, and cascade aeration. Treated effluent is discharged to Potato Creek.

Sludge is held in aerobic digesters, thickened and land applied.

# 1.8 Type of Wastewater Discharge

	Process wastewater	Stormwater
X	Domestic wastewater	Combined (Describe)
П	Other (Describe)	

# 1.9 Characterization of Effluent Discharge (as reported by applicant)

#### Outfall No. 001:

Effluent Characteristics (as Reported by Applicant)	Maximum Daily Value	Average Daily Value
Flow (MGD)	1.819	1.179
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	4.0	2.0
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	3	1
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100mL)	18	4
Ammonia, as N (mg/L)	11.47	1.72
Total Phosphorus, as P (mg/L)	3.9	1.02

# 2. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

# 2.1 State Regulations

Chapter 391-3-6 of the Georgia Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control

# 2.2 Federal Regulations

Source	Activity	Applicable Regulation
Municipal	Municipal Effluent Discharge	40 CFR 122
		40 CFR 125
		40 CFR 133
	Non-Process Water Discharges	40 CFR 122
		40 CFR 125
	Municipal Sludge Use and Disposal	40 CFR 122
		40 CFR 257
		40 CFR 501 & 503

# 3. WATER QUALITY STANDARDS & RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

Section 301(b)(1)(C) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires the development of limitations in permits necessary to meet water quality standards. Federal Regulations 40 CFR 122.4(d) require that conditions in NPDES permits ensure compliance with the water quality standards which are composed of use classifications, numeric and or narrative water quality criteria and an anti-degradation policy. The use classification system designates the beneficial uses that each waterbody is expected to achieve, such as drinking water, fishing, or recreation. The numeric and narrative water quality criteria are deemed necessary to support the beneficial use classification for each water body. The anti-degradation policy represents an approach to maintain and to protect various levels of water quality and uses.

# 3.1 Receiving Waterbody Classification and Information – Potato Creek:

# Specific Water Quality Criteria for Classified Water Usage [391-3-6-.03(6)]:

Fishing: Propagation of Fish, Shellfish, Game and Other Aquatic Life; secondary contact recreation in and on the water; or for any other use requiring water of a lower quality.

- (i) Dissolved Oxygen: A daily average of 6.0 mg/L and no less than 5.0 mg/L at all times for water designated as trout streams by the Wildlife Resources Division. A daily average of 5.0 mg/L and no less than 4.0 mg/L at all times for waters supporting warm water species of fish.
- (ii) pH: Within the range of 6.0 8.5.
- (iii) Bacteria:
  - 1. For the months of May through October, when water contact recreation activities are expected to occur, fecal coliform not to exceed a geometric mean of 200 per 100 mL based

on at least four samples collected from a given sampling site over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours. Should water quality and sanitary studies show fecal coliform levels from non-human sources exceed 200/100 mL (geometric mean) occasionally, then the allowable geometric mean fecal coliform shall not exceed 300 per 100 mL in lakes and reservoirs and 500 per 100 mL in free-flowing freshwater streams. For the months of November through April, fecal coliform not to exceed a geometric mean of 1,000 per 100 mL based on at least four samples collected from a given sampling site over a 30-day period at intervals not less than 24 hours and not to exceed a maximum of 4,000 per 100 mL for any sample. The State does not encourage swimming in these surface waters since a number of factors which are beyond the control of any State regulatory agency contribute to elevated levels of bacteria.

- 2. For waters designated as shellfish growing areas by the Georgia DNR Coastal Resources Division, the requirements will be consistent with those established by the State and Federal agencies responsible for the National Shellfish Sanitation Program. The requirements are found in National Shellfish Sanitation Program Guide for the Control of Molluscan Shellfish, 2007 Revision (or most recent version), Interstate Shellfish Sanitation Conference, U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
- (iv) Temperature: Not to exceed 90°F. At no time is the temperature of the receiving waters to be increased more than 5°F above intake temperature except that in estuarine waters the increase will not be more than 1.5°F. In streams designated as primary trout or smallmouth bass waters by the Wildlife Resources Division, there shall be no elevation of natural stream temperatures. In streams designated as secondary trout waters, there shall be no elevation exceeding 2°F natural stream temperatures.

#### 3.2 Ambient Information

Outfall ID	30Q3 (cfs)	7Q10 (cfs)	1Q10 (cfs)	Annual Average Flow (cfs)	Hardness (mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	Upstream Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)
001	0.9	0.06	0.05	11	31 (1)	10 <sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Hardness data from Discharge Monitoring Reports.

## 3.3 Georgia 305(b)/303(d) List Documents



Potato Creek is listed on the 2018 305(b)/303(d) list as not supporting its designated use (fishing) but TMDLs have been completed for the impacted parameters (sediments and fecal coliform bacteria).

Not available. A conservative value of 10 mg/L will be used for the reasonable potential analysis calculations.

## 3.4 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

A TMDL evaluation for 28 stream segments in the Flint River Basin for fecal coliform was completed in 2003. The fecal coliform bacteria TMDL recommended that all municipal treatment facilities with the potential for the occurrence of fecal coliform in their discharge will be given end of pipe limits equivalent to the water quality standard of 200 counts/100 ml or less. The fecal coliform bacteria limits in the draft permit are in accordance with the TMDL requirements.

A TMDL evaluation for 28 stream segments in the Flint River Basin for sediments was completed in 2003. The TMDL allocated an annual TSS loading of 91.3 tons for Potato Creek WPCP (equivalent to 227.5 kg/day). The TSS limits in the draft permit are in accordance with the TMDL requirements.

## 3.5 Wasteload Allocation (WLA)

A WLA for reissuance was issued on February 26, 2019. Refer to Appendix A of the Fact Sheet for a copy of the WLA.

#### 4. EFFLUENT LIMITS AND PERMIT CONDITIONS

#### 4.1 Reasonable Potential Analysis (RP)

Title 40 of the Federal Code of Regulations, 40 CFR 122.44(d) requires delegated States to develop procedures for determining whether a discharge causes, has the reasonable potential to cause, or contributes to an instream excursion above a narrative or numeric criteria within a State water. If such reasonable potential is determined to exist, the NPDES permit must contain pollutant effluent limits and/or effluent limits for whole effluent toxicity. Georgia's Reasonable Potential Procedures are based on Georgia's Rules and Regulations for Water Quality Control (Rules), Chapter 391-3-6-.06(4)(d)5. The chemical specific and biomonitoring data and other pertinent information in EPD's files will be considered in accordance with the review procedures specified in the Rules in the evaluation of a permit application and in the evaluation of the reasonable potential for an effluent to cause an exceedance in the numeric or narrative criteria.

Refer to Section 4.2 for reasonable potential analysis on effluent toxicity.

Refer to Section 4.6 for reasonable potential analysis on toxic and manmade pollutants.

## 4.2 Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

Chronic WET test measures the effect of wastewater on indicator organisms' growth, reproduction and survival. Effluent toxicity is predicted when the No Observable Effect Concentrations (NOEC) for a test organism is less than the facility's Instream Wastewater Concentration (TWC). WET testing also requires a measure of test sensitivity known as the Percent Minimum Significant Difference (PMSD). See Table below from Section 10.2.8.3 (page 52) of EPA 821-R-02-013 Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2002 for PMSD variability criteria.

TABLE 6. VARIABILITY CRITERIA (UPPER AND LOWER PMSD BOUNDS) FOR SUBLETHAL HYPOTHESIS TESTING ENDPOINTS SUBMITTED UNDER NPDES PERMITS. 1

Test Method	Endpoint	Lower PMSD Bound	Upper PMSD Bound
Method 1000.0, Fathead Minnow Larval Survival and Growth Test	growth	12	30
Method 1002.0, Ceriodaphnia dubia Survival and Reproduction Test	reproduction	13	47
Method 1003.0, Selevastrum capckornutum Growth Test	growth	9.1	29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lower and upper PMSD bounds were determined from the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile, respectively, of PMSD data from EPA's WET Interlaboratory Variability Study (USEPA, 2001a; USEPA, 2001b).

PMSD = 
$$\frac{\text{MinimumSignificant Data(MSD)}}{\text{ControlMean}} \times 100$$
 %

#### *Phase I (2.0 MGD):*

The current permit includes a WET limit of NOEC  $\geq$  92%. The permittee submitted the results of ten WET tests. One test was more than 4.5 years old at the time the application was submitted and therefore was not taken into account. Refer to the WET test results summary in the table below.

		No Observed Effect Concentration (NOEC)							
Test	Sample Date	Ceriodo	aphnia dubia	Pimephales promelas					
Date		Survival (%)	Reproduction (%)	Survival (%)	%) Growth (%)				
1	6/2014	92	92	92	92				
2	6/2015	92	92	92	92				
3	6/2016	25	25	100	50				
4	8/2016	46	23	92	12				
5	11/2016	92	92	92	92				
6	4/2017	92	92	92	92				
7	4/2018	46	46	92	92				
8	6/2018	92	92	92	92				
9	4/2019	92	92	92	92				

The facility failed two WET tests in 2016. However, since the treatment process was upgraded in August 2017, the 2016 results may not be representative of the effluent quality.

The facility also failed one WET test in April 2018, after the plant upgrade, but subsequently submitted two passing WET tests.

PMSD values were calculated for each set of results and compared to EPA's Variability Criteria to ensure their validity. PMSD for *Ceriodaphnia dubia* reproduction and *Pimephales promelas* survival from the nine WET tests were lower or within EPA's Variability Criteria; therefore, the tests are considered valid. Refer to Appendix C for PSMD values.

The Instream Wastewater Concentration is 98% based on updated stream flow information (7Q10); therefore a WET limit of NOEC  $\geq$  98% has been included in the draft permit along with annual monitoring requirement.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity or if the tests are invalid, the permittee may be required to perform additional WET tests or studies in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit.

#### Phase II (3.0 MGD):

A WET limit of NOEC ≥ 99% has been included in the Part I.B.2 effluent limitations. The permittee must conduct one whole effluent toxicity (WET) test for four consecutive quarters during the first year after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (3.0 MGD), with the first test being conducted within 90 days of this authorization. Testing must include dilutions equal to or greater than the Instream Wastewater Concentration (IWC) of 99%.

EPD will evaluate the WET tests submitted to determine whether toxicity has been demonstrated. If the test results indicate effluent toxicity or if the tests are invalid, the permittee may be required to perform additional WET tests in accordance with Part I.C.5 of the permit.

## 4.3 Applicable Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs)

When drafting a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, a permit writer must consider the impact of the proposed discharge on the quality of the receiving water. Water quality goals for a waterbody are defined by state water quality standards. By analyzing the effect of a discharge on the receiving water, a permit writer could find that technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) alone will not achieve the applicable water quality standards. In such cases, the Clean Water Act (CWA) and its implementing regulations require development of water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs). WQBELs help meet the CWA objective of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters and the goal of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water (fishable/swimmable).

WQBELs are designed to protect water quality by ensuring that water quality standards are met in the receiving water and downstream uses are protected. On the basis of the requirements of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 125.3(a), additional or

more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, such as WQBELs, are imposed when TBELs are not sufficient to protect water quality.

The term *pollutant* is defined in CWA section 502(6) and § 122.2. Pollutants are grouped into three categories under the NPDES program: conventional, toxic, and nonconventional. Conventional pollutants are those defined in CWA section 304(a)(4) and § 401.16 (BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS, fecal coliform, pH, and oil and grease). Toxic (priority) pollutants are those defined in CWA section 307(a)(1) and include 126 metals and manmade organic compounds. Nonconventional pollutants are those that do not fall under either of the above categories (conventional or toxic pollutants) and include parameters such as chlorine, ammonia, nitrogen, phosphorus, chemical oxygen demand (COD), and whole effluent toxicity (WET).

#### 4.4 Conventional Pollutants

Pollutants of Concern	Basis			
	Phase I (2.0 MGD) & II (3.0 MGD):			
pН	In Phase I, the instream wastewater concentration (IWC) is 98%. In Phase II, the instream wastewater concentration (IWC) will be 99%. When the IWC is greater than 50%, there is reasonable potential for pH to cause or contribute to violations of the instream Georgia Water Quality Standard; therefore, pH limits of 6.0-8.5 SU (daily minimum-daily maximum) were included in the draft permit.			
	Phase I (2.0 MGD):			
	The monthly average BOD <sub>5</sub> limit was revised from seasonal limitations (10-30.0 mg/L) to 10.0 mg/L year-round based on demonstrated performance and facility design.			
	Phase II (3.0 MGD):			
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD <sub>5</sub> )	The facility is equipped with tertiary filtration. The monthly average BOD <sub>5</sub> limit was decreased from seasonal limitations to 9.0 mg/L year-round based on the facility design.			
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, the respective Phase I and II proposed monthly average BOD <sub>5</sub> limits of 10.0 mg/L and 9.0 mg/L, when combined with the ammonia and dissolved oxygen limits (Refer to Section 4.5 below), is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.			

#### Phase I (2.0 MGD):

The monthly average TSS limit of 30 mg/L and 227.5 Kg/day are in accordance with technology-based effluent limitations for publicly owned treatment work (i.e., secondary standards) and the 2003 TMDL requirements.

## Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

## Phase II (3.0 MGD):

The proposed monthly average TSS limit of 20 mg/L and 227.5 Kg/day are in accordance with the 2003 TMDL requirements and system design.

#### Phase I (2.0 MGD) & II (3.0 MGD):

#### Fecal Coliform Bacteria (FCB)

The monthly average FCB limit of 200 #/100mL is in accordance with TMDL requirements in Section 3.4 above.

## 4.5 Nonconventional Pollutants

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
	Phase I (2.0 MGD) & II (3.0 MGD):
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	Chlorine is no longer used for disinfection; therefore a TRC limit is not required (see letter, Appendix E).
	Phase I (2.0 MGD:
	The minimum DO limit was revised from seasonal limitations (2.0-6.0 mg/L) to 6.0 mg/L based on demonstrated performance and facility design.
	Phase II (3.0 MGD):
Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	The minimum DO limit of 6.0 mg/L is maintained in the draft permit.
	According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, a minimum effluent DO of 6.0 mg/L is protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.
	Phase I (2.0 MGD):
Total Phasehans (TD)	Total phosphorus monitoring has been included in the draft permit in accordance with EPD's Strategy for Addressing Phosphorus in NPDES Permitting, 2011.
Total Phosphorus (TP)	Phase II (3.0 MGD):
	A monthly average limit of 1.0 mg/L is in accordance with EPD's Strategy for Addressing Phosphorus in NPDES Permitting, 2011.
	Phase I (2.0 MGD) & II (3.0 MGD):
Orthophosphate, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), Organic Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite	Orthophosphate, TKN, organic nitrogen, and nitrate-nitrite monitoring has been included in the draft permit. The data will be used to determine nutrient speciation and to quantify nutrient loadings in the Flint River Basin.

## Phase I (2.0 MGD):

The seasonal monthly average ammonia limits were decreased from 4.1-17.4 mg/L to 1.0-4.8 mg/L in accordance with EPD's NPDES Permitting Strategy for Addressing Ammonia Toxicity, 2017. A review of Discharge Monitoring Report data indicates that the facility can meet the proposed limit without process modification; therefore, a compliance schedule was not included in the draft permit.

According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, the proposed seasonal monthly average ammonia limits, when combined with the BOD<sub>5</sub> and dissolved oxygen limit (Refer to Section 4.4 above), are also protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.

Phase II (3.0 MGD):

According to the steady-state dissolved oxygen Georgia DOSAG model, the seasonal monthly average ammonia limits (0.7-2.2 mg/L), when combined with the monthly average BOD<sub>5</sub> and dissolved oxygen limits (Refer to Section 4.4 above), are protective of the instream Water Quality Standard for dissolved oxygen described in Section 3.1 above.

The proposed seasonal monthly average ammonia limits are also in accordance with EPD's permitting strategy to address ammonia toxicity in State waters under 30Q3 stream flow conditions.

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>)

### 4.6 Toxics & Manmade Organic Compounds

Monitoring for 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol has been removed (see letter, Appendix E) as the facility has demonstrated that the pollutant effluent concentrations are not at a level of concern as per Part I.C.9 of the current permit.

The permittee submitted the results of three Priority Pollutant Scans (PPS) with the permit application. Data from the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMR) were also evaluated. All pollutants evaluated were "non-detect" except for the following:

Pollutants of Concern	Basis
Total Recoverable Chromium (III)	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be less than 50% of the acute and chronic instream water quality standards. Refer to <i>Appendix B</i> of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
Cinomium (111)	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, chromium (III) is not considered a pollutant of concern and additional monitoring is not required.
	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be greater than the acute instream standard and 50% of the chronic instream water quality standard. Refer to Appendix B of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
	Phase I (2.0 MGD):
Total Recoverable Copper	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, copper is considered a pollutant of concern and a monthly average limit of 8.6 µg/L has been included in the draft permit.
	Since copper partitioning in the receiving water is hardness-dependent, monitoring for total hardness downstream of the discharge has also been maintained.
	Phase II (3.0 MGD):
	The total recoverable copper limit of 8.5 $\mu$ g/L and total hardness downstream monitoring are included.

Total Recoverable Zinc	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be less than 50% of the acute and chronic instream water quality standards. Refer to <i>Appendix B</i> of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, zinc is not considered a pollutant of concern and additional monitoring is not required.
Mercury	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be less than 50% of the acute and chronic instream water quality standards. Refer to Appendix B of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, mercury is not considered a pollutant of concern and additional monitoring is not required.
Chloroform	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be less than 50% of the instream water quality standards. Refer to <i>Appendix B</i> of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
Cindidition	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, chloroform is not considered a pollutant of concern and additional monitoring is not required.
	This parameter was evaluated and its instream concentration was found to be greater than 50% of the instream water quality standards. Refer to <i>Appendix B</i> of the Fact Sheet for reasonable potential evaluations.
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	Phase I (2.0 MGD) and II (3.0 MGD):
	In accordance with EPD reasonable potential procedures, bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate is considered a pollutant of concern and additional monitoring is required.

The permittee must conduct one scan of priority pollutants for three consecutive quarters after receiving EPD written authorization to commence operation under Part I.B.2 effluent limitations (3.0 MGD), with the first scan conducted within 90 days of the authorization.

Analysis of priority pollutant scans shall include all results from testing of required pollutants from Section 4.6 Toxics & Manmade Organic Compounds. If substances are measured at levels of concern, then the permittee may be required to perform additional priority pollutant analyses in accordance with Part I.C.5 or the permit may be modified to include or exclude effluent limitations for priority pollutants.

## 4.7 Calculations for Effluent Limits – Phase I (2.0 MGD)

## 4.7.1 Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) - Phase I:

IWC = 
$$\frac{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec)}{Q_{Effluent} (ft^3/sec) + 7Q10 (ft^3/sec)}$$
 %  
=  $\frac{3.1}{3.1+0.06}$   
= 98 %

### 4.7.2 Flow - Phase I:

Q = Flow

C = Concentration

M = Mass

Q weekly = 
$$Q_{Monthly}$$
 (MGD) x 1.25  
=  $2.0 \times 1.25$   
=  $2.5 MGD$ 

## 4.7.3 Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand - Phase I:

Weekly Average Concentration:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$10.0 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $15.0 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{2.0 \times 10.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 75.8 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{2.5 \times 10.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 94.8 \text{ kg/day}$$

## 4.7.4 Total Suspended Solids – Phase I:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

[C] weekly 
$$= [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L) \times 1.5$$
$$= 30 \times 1.5$$
$$= 45 \text{ mg/L}$$

• Monthly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{2.0 \times 30 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 227.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{2.5 \times 30 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 284.3 \text{ kg/day}$$

## 4.7.5 Fecal Coliform Bacteria - Phase I:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

C Weekly = 
$$C_{Monthly}$$
 (#/100 mL) x 2  
= 200 x 2  
= 400 #/100 mL

## 4.7.6. Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) - Phase I:

The facility is equipped with a UV system for disinfection and chlorine is no longer used. A TRC limit has not been included in the draft permit.

### 4.7.7 Ammonia – Phase I:

Toxicity Analysis:

The chronic criterion based on Villosa iris (rainbow mussel) is determined as follows:

CCC = 
$$0.8876 \times (\frac{0.0278}{1+10^{7.688 \text{-pH}}} + \frac{1.1994}{1+10^{\text{pH-7.688}}}) \times 2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-\text{MAX(T,7)})} \text{ mg/L}$$

Where: pH : pH of receiving stream and discharge

T : Temperature of receiving stream
CCC : Chronic Continuous Concentration

The ammonia effluent limit (monthly average) is then calculated as follows:

[NH<sub>3</sub>] Effluent = 
$$\frac{\left(Q_{\text{Effluent}}(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec}) + 30Q3(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec})\right) \times \text{CCC}(\text{mg/L}) - 30Q3(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec}) \times [\text{NH}_3]_{\text{Stream Background}}(\text{mg/L})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}}(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec})}$$

Refer to Appendix D for detailed calculations.

• Weekly Average Concentration – December through February:

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$4.8 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $7.2 \text{ mg/L}$ 

Monthly Average Mass Loading – December through February:

$$\begin{split} M_{Monthly} &= \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)} \\ &= \frac{2.0 \times 4.8 \times 8.34}{2.2} \\ &= 36.4 \text{ kg/day} \end{split}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading – December through February:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{2.5 \times 4.8 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 45.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Concentration – March through May:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$3.0 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $4.5 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading - March through May:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{2.0 \times 3.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 22.7 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading - March through May:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{2.5 \times 3.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 28.4 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Concentration - June through November:

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$1.0 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $1.5 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading – June through November:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{2.0 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 7.6 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading - June through November:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} (\text{MGD}) \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}} (\text{mg/L or ppm}) \times 8.34 (\text{lbs/gal})}{2.2 (\text{lbs/Kg})}$$

$$= \frac{2.5 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 9.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

#### 4.7.8 Metals - Phase I:

Total recoverable copper limits have been included. Refer to Appendix B.

## 4.8 Calculations for Effluent Limits – Phase II (3.0 MGD)

## 4.8.1 Instream Waste Concentration (IWC) - Phase II:

IWC 
$$= \frac{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}} (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec}) + 7Q10 (\text{ft}^{3}/\text{sec})} \%$$
$$= \frac{4.6}{4.6 + 0.06}$$
$$= 99 \%$$

#### 4.8.2 Flow - Phase II:

Q = Flow C = Concentration M = Mass

Weekly Average Flow:

## 4.8.3 Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand - Phase II:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$9.0 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $13.5 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{3.0 \times 9.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 102.4 \text{ kg/day}$$

Weekly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 9.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 127.9 \text{ kg/day}$$

## 4.8.4 Total Suspended Solids – Phase II:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$20 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $30 \text{ mg/L}$ 

Monthly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{3.0 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 227.5 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 20 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 284.3 \text{ kg/day}$$

## 4.8.5 Fecal Coliform Bacteria – Phase II:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

C weekly = 
$$C_{Monthly}$$
 (#/100 mL) x 2  
= 200 x 2  
= 400 #/100 mL

## 4.8.6. Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) - Phase II:

The facility is equipped with a UV system for disinfection. A TRC limit has not been included in the draft permit.

#### 4.8.7 Ammonia – Phase II:

• Toxicity Analysis:

The chronic criterion based on Villosa iris (rainbow mussel) is determined as follows:

CCC = 
$$0.8876 \times (\frac{0.0278}{1+10^{7.688 \text{ pH}}} + \frac{1.1994}{1+10^{\text{pH-7.688}}}) \times 2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20 - \text{MAX}(T,7))} \text{ mg/L}$$

Where: pH : pH of receiving stream and discharge

T : Temperature of receiving stream
CCC : Chronic Continuous Concentration

The ammonia effluent limit (monthly average) is then calculated as follows:

[NH<sub>3</sub>] Effluent = 
$$\frac{\left(Q_{\text{Effluent}}(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec}) + 30Q3(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec})\right) \times CCC(\text{mg/L}) - 30Q3(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec}) \times [\text{NH}_3]_{\text{StreamBackground}}(\text{mg/L})}{Q_{\text{Effluent}}(\text{ft}^3/\text{sec})}$$

Refer to Appendix D for detailed calculations.

Weekly Average Concentration – December through February:

[C] Weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$2.2 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $3.3 \text{ mg/L}$ 

Monthly Average Mass Loading – December through February:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{3.0 \times 2.2 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 25.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading - December through February:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gai)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 2.2 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$
$$= 31.3 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Concentration - March through May:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$1.2 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $1.8 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading - March through May:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{3.0 \times 1.2 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 13.6 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading - March through May

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times [\text{C}]_{\text{Monthly}}^{1} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (ibs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 1.2 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 17.1 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Concentration – June through November:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$0.7 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $1.1 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading - June through November:

$$\begin{split} M_{Monthly} &= \frac{Q_{Monthly} \, (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \, or \, ppm) \times 8.34 \, (lbs/gal)}{2.2 \, (lbs/Kg)} \\ &= \frac{3.0 \times 0.7 \times 8.34}{2.2} \end{split}$$

$$= 8.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading - June through November:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 0.7 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 10.0 \text{ kg/day}$$

## 4.8.8 Total Phosphorus - Phase II:

• Weekly Average Concentration:

[C] weekly = [C] Monthly (mg/L) x 1.5  
= 
$$1.0 \times 1.5$$
  
=  $1.5 \text{ mg/L}$ 

• Monthly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{Monthly} = \frac{Q_{Monthly} (MGD) \times [C]_{Monthly} (mg/L \text{ or ppm}) \times 8.34 (lbs/gal)}{2.2 (lbs/Kg)}$$

$$= \frac{3.0 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 11.4 \text{ kg/day}$$

• Weekly Average Mass Loading:

$$M_{\text{Weekly}} = \frac{Q_{\text{Weekly}} \text{ (MGD)} \times \text{[C]}_{\text{Monthly}} \text{ (mg/L or ppm)} \times 8.34 \text{ (lbs/gal)}}{2.2 \text{ (lbs/Kg)}}$$

$$= \frac{3.75 \times 1.0 \times 8.34}{2.2}$$

$$= 14.2 \text{ kg/day}$$

#### 4.8.9 Metals - Phase II:

Total recoverable copper limits have been included. Refer to Appendix B.

## 4.9 Applicable Technology Based Effluent Limits (TBELS)

Technology-based effluent limitations aim to prevent pollution by requiring a minimum level of effluent quality that is attainable using demonstrated technologies for reducing discharges of pollutants or pollution into the waters of the United States. TBELs are developed independently of the potential impact of a discharge on the receiving water, which is addressed through water quality standards and water quality-based effluent limitations. The NPDES regulations at Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations 125.3(a) require NPDES permit writers to develop technology-based treatment requirements, consistent with CWA section 301(b), that represent the minimum level of control that must be imposed in a permit. The regulation also indicates that permit writers must include in permits additional or more stringent effluent limitations and conditions, including those necessary to protect water quality.

For pollutants not specifically regulated by Federal Effluent Limit Guidelines, the permit writer must identify any needed Technology-based effluent limitations and utilizes best professional judgment to establish technology-based limits or determine other appropriate means to control its discharge.

40 CFR Part §122.44(a)(1) requires that NPDES permits include applicable technology-based limitations and standards, while regulations at § 125.3(a)(1) state that TBELs for publicly owned treatment works must be based on secondary treatment standards and the "equivalent to secondary treatment standards" (40 CFR Part 133). The regulation applies to all POTWs and identifies the technology-based performance standards achievable based on secondary treatment for five-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), total suspended solids (TSS), and pH.

The table below shows the secondary treatment standards:

Parameter	Secondary Treatment Standards			
	30-day Average	7-day Average		
BOD <sub>5</sub>	30 mg/L	45 mg/L		
TSS	30 mg/L	45 mg/L		
BOD <sub>5</sub> and TSS removal (concentration)	≥ 85%			
pH (Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum)	6.0-9	.0 S.U.		

#### 4.10 Comparison & Summary of Water Quality vs. Technology Based Effluent Limits

After determining applicable technology-based effluent limitations and water quality-based effluent limitations, the most stringent limits are applied in the permit.

## 4.10.1. Phase I (2.0 MGD):

Parameter	WQBELS (1)	TBELS (1)
	Monthly Average	Monthly Average
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	10.0	30.0
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	30	30
Ammonia (mg/L)	4.8 (DecFeb.)	None
	3.0 (MarMay)	
	1.0 (JunNov.)	
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	None
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Daily Minimum	6.0	None
pH (Standard Units), Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum)	6.0-8.5	6.0-9.0
Total Recoverable Copper (µg/L)	8.6	None
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity NOEC	98%	None

Effluent limits in bold were included in the permit. Refer to Sections 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, and 4.9 above for more information.

## 4.10.2. Phase II (3.0 MGD):

Parameter	WQBELS (1)	TBELS (1)
	Monthly Average	Monthly Average
Five-Day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	9.0	30.0
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	20	30
Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	1.0	None
Ammonia (mg/L)	2.2 (DecFeb.)	None
	1.2 (MarMay)	
	<b>0.7</b> (JunNov.)	
Fecal Coliform Bacteria (#/100 mL)	200	None
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Daily Minimum	6.0	None
pH (Standard Units), Daily Minimum – Daily Maximum)	6.0-8.5	6.0-9.0
Total Recoverable Copper (μg/L)	8.5	None
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity NOEC	99%	None

Effluent limits in bold were included in the permit. Refer to Sections 4.4, 4.5, 4.7, 4.8, and 4.9 above for more information.

### 5. OTHER PERMIT REQUIREMENTS AND CONSIDERATIONS

### 5.1 Long-Term BOD (LTBOD) Test

For facilities with a capacity of 1.0 MGD or greater, a 120-day long-term BOD test should be performed once during the permit period on an effluent sample collected during the critical period from June 1 through September 30; therefore, a requirement for long term BOD testing has been included in the draft permit.

## 5.2 Industrial Pre-treatment Program (IPP)

The City of Griffin has an approved IPP; therefore language has been included in the draft permit to reflect the approved program.

## 5.3 Sludge Management Plan (SMP)

The City has an approved SMP to land apply sludge at agronomic rates; therefore language to reflect the approved plan has been included in the draft permit.

#### 5.4 Watershed Protection Plan (WPP)

The City has an approved WPP; therefore language has been included in the draft permit to reflect the approved plan.

### 5.5 Service Delivery Strategy

The City of Griffin is in compliance with the Department of Community Affairs approved Service Delivery Strategy for Spalding County.

#### 5.6 Compliance Schedules

Effluent limitations are applicable immediately upon the effective date of the permit.

#### 5.7 Anti-Backsliding

Limits for total residual chlorine have been removed as plant has been upgraded to UV disinfection and chlorine is no longer used. The limits in this permit are in compliance with the 40 C.F.R. 122.44(1), which requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit.

#### 6. REPORTING

#### 6.1 Compliance office

The facility has been assigned to the following EPD office for reporting, compliance and enforcement:

Georgia Environmental Protection Division Watershed Compliance Program 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive Suite 1152 East Atlanta, Georgia 30334

## 6.2 E-Reporting

The permittee is required to electronically submit documents in accordance with 40 CFR Part 127.

#### 7. REQUESTED VARIANCES OR ALTERNATIVES TO REQUIRED STANDARDS

Not applicable

#### 8. PERMIT EXPIRATION

The permit will expire five years from the effective date.

#### 9. PROCEDURES FOR THE FORMULATION OF FINAL DETERMINATIONS

#### 9.1 Comment Period

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division (EPD) proposes to issue a permit to this applicant subject to the effluent limitations and special conditions outlined above. These determinations are tentative.

The permit application, draft permit, and other information are available for review at 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, Suite 1152 East, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. For additional information, you can contact 404-463-1511.

#### 9.2 Public Comments

Persons wishing to comment upon or object to the proposed determinations are invited to submit same in writing to the EPD address above, or via e-mail at EPDcomments@dnr.ga.gov within 30 days of the initiation of the public comment period. All comments received prior to that date will be considered in the formulation of final determinations regarding the application. The permit number should be placed on the top of the first page of comments to ensure that your comments will be forwarded to the appropriate staff.

#### 9.3 Public Hearing

Any applicant, affected state or interstate agency, the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or any other interested agency, person or group of persons may request a public hearing with respect to an NPDES permit application if such request is filed within thirty (30) days following the date of the public notice for such application. Such request must indicate the interest of the party filing the request, the reasons why a hearing is requested, and those specific portions of the application or other NPDES form or information to be considered at the public hearing.

The Director shall hold a hearing if he determines that there is sufficient public interest in holding such a hearing. If a public hearing is held, notice of same shall be provided at least thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing date.

In the event that a public hearing is held, both oral and written comments will be accepted; however, for the accuracy of the record, written comments are encouraged. The Director or a designee reserves the right to fix reasonable limits on the time allowed for oral statements and such other procedural requirements, as deemed appropriate.

Following a public hearing, the Director, unless it is decided to deny the permit, may make such modifications in the terms and conditions of the proposed permit as may be appropriate and shall issue the permit.

If no public hearing is held, and, after review of the written comments received, the Director determines that a permit should be issued and that the determinations as set forth in the proposed permit are substantially unchanged, the permit will be issued and will become final in the absence of a request for a contested hearing. Notice of issuance or denial will be made available to all interested persons and those persons that submitted written comments to the Director on the proposed permit.

If no public hearing is held, but the Director determines, after a review of the written comments received, that a permit should be issued but that substantial changes in the proposed permit are warranted, public notice of the revised determinations will be given and written comments accepted in the same manner as the initial notice of application was given and written comments accepted pursuant to EPD Rules, Water Quality Control, subparagraph 391-3-6-.06(7)(b). The Director shall provide an opportunity for public hearing on the revised determinations. Such opportunity for public hearing and the issuance or denial of a permit thereafter shall be in accordance with the procedures as are set forth above.

#### 9.4 Final Determination

At the time that any final permit decision is made, the Director shall issue a response to comments. The issued permit and responses to comments can be found at the following address:

http://epd.georgia.gov/watershed-protection-branch-permit-and-public-comments-clearinghouse-0

## 9.5 Contested Hearings

Any person who is aggrieved or adversely affected by the issuance or denial of a permit by the Director of EPD may petition the Director for a hearing if such petition is filed in the office of the Director within thirty (30) days from the date of notice of such permit issuance or denial. Such hearing shall be held in accordance with the EPD Rules, Water Quality Control, subparagraph 391-3-6-.01.

Petitions for a contested hearing must include the following:

- 1. The name and address of the petitioner;
- 2. The grounds under which petitioner alleges to be aggrieved or adversely affected by the issuance or denial of a permit;
- 3. The reason or reasons why petitioner takes issue with the action of the Director;
- 4. All other matters asserted by petitioner which are relevant to the action in question.

## Appendix A

## Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

Waste Load Allocation (WLA)

## National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Waste Load Allocation Form

Part I: Back	karaund l	Information								
WLA Request Tvo			pansion 🗔	Relocati	on 🗀 Ne	w Diech	arge 🔲			
Facility Name:		- Potato Cree		110,000	Cour		orga Ca		WQMU: 1105	
NPDES Permit No	.: GA00	30791		Expiratio		une 30.			Outfall Number:	001
Receiving Water:	Potate	Creek				lint		10-Dk	alt HUC: 03130	
Discharge Type:	Domes	stic 🔯 Inda	istrial 🗆	Both 🗆	Proportion		Flo			.0 & 3.0
Industrial Contribu	tions Type/					(5.1).		ada) Ladre	9190 (MGD); 2.	U & 3.U
Treatment Process										
			lees others		- 9444510000000	reserva la				
Additional Informa Requested by:	Molisse De	rapecial corigii	IONS, OUTOF 12	iomaea'r in	City propo	sed to	nse na cisi	nxection fo	r the future 3.0 M	GD facility.
Telephone:	MAINS DE	et pri		me:			Program		ativity de la conse	
Teraprioria.					and the same	-	Date	Septer	nber 13, 2018	
			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		and was a maked		Tara a sana	water with the party with	5-50-dept	n a re man e comment en admin
		ater informa	ation							
Receiving Water:	Poteto C	reek			Di	esignate	d Use Class	fication:	Fishing	
Integrated 305(b)/3	303(d) List:	Yes 🛛 N	D Par	tial Support	: 🔲 Not S	Support:	⊠ Crite	ria: Blot	(F)	- 1
Total Maximum Da	illy Load:	Yes 🖾 No	Par	ameter(s):	T88, FC			Complies w	-4- 8	⊠ No □
The current TSS a	and fecal oc	ollform permit				facal co	Mores TMD	- mouteen	ente	
								requirem	willian	
D_4 110. 444 4				46		_		_	á	
		Model Rev								
	Uncalibrated		alibrated 🔲		ed 🗌 Ca	innot be	Modeled	Model	Length (ml):	
				ellent 🗌						
Model and Field Da	ita Descripti	ion: Steady-sta	te dissolved	oxygen Ge	orgia DOS/	AG mod	oL.			
Critical Water Tem		): <b>28</b>		nage Area (i	mi²): 10		Mean ar	nual street	nflow at discharge	(cfs): 11
7Q10 Yield (cfs/mi*			Velocity (rai	nge fps): (	0.21 - 0.71		3	IOQ3 stream	nflow at discharge	(cfs): 0.9
Effluent Flow Rate		1, 4.6	7Q10 P	WC (%): 1	98, 99		7	Q10 stream	nflow at discharge	(cfs): 0.06
Slope (range - fpm)			8-0.4 K3	: 0.3 - 0	.35 K2 (n	enge):	1	Q10 stream	nflow at discharge	(c/s): 0.05
SOD: Not mod		Escape Cor	of. (ft <sup>-1</sup> ): 0.1		io (BOD,/BO	D <sub>6</sub> ): 1	1.5			
. The predicted min	imum DO v	vas 5.1 mg/L, s	pproximate	y 1,3 miles	downstress	n from t	the MGD dis	charge, T	e modeling para	maters clied
- A STANDARD TANKS AND A STANDARD TO A	Address of the Control of the	and the Control of th							A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	
above, except for	the critical	streamflows a	nd temperat	ure, are fro	m the mode	ling and	dysis for th	original v	raste load allocal	tion.
above, except tor	the critical	streamnows a	nd temperat	ure, are fro	m the mode	ling and	llysis for th	original v	aste load allocat	lion.
above, except tor	the critical	streamnows a	nd temperat	ure, are fro	m the mode	ling and	llysis for th	original v	aste load allocat	lion.
Part IV: Recon	the critical	Permit Limi	nd temperat	ure, are fro	m the mode	ling and	llysis for th	original v	aste load allocat	lion.
Part IV: Recon	mended	Permit Limi	nd temperat Itations ar	nd Cond	m the mode	ling and	llysis for th	original v	aste load allocat	lion.
Part IV: Recommendation Regionale: Samuel Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate	nmended e as current to Creek	Permit Limi	nd temperat Itations ar	nd Cond	tions (mg	L as a	monthly	original v	except as no	ted)
Part IV: Recom- Rationale: Sam- Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)	nmended e as current to Creek BOD <sub>5</sub>	Permit Limi	itations ar	nd Cond	m the mode	ling and	llysis for th	original v	aste load allocat	lion.
Part IV: Recommendation Regionale: Samuel Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate	nmended e as current to Creek BOD;	Permit Limi  R  NHa (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8	itations are	nd Condi New	tions (mg	L as a	monthly	original v	except as no	Organic Nitrogen
Part IV: Recom- Rationale: Sam- Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)	nmended e as current to Creek BOD;	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb; 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0	itations are evised (Minimum)	New TRC (delly max.)	tions (mg	/L as o	monthly  pH (etd. units)	average TP	except as no Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN	ted)
Part IV: Recon Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)	nmended e as current to Creek BOD;	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0	itations are sevised   DO (minimum)  6.0	New TRC (delly max.)	tions (mg	/L as o	monthly  pH (etd. units)	average TP	except as no	Organic Nitrogen
Part IV: Recom- Rationale: Sam- Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10	Permit Lim  NHs (so N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2	itations are evised (Minimum)	New TRC (delly max.)	tions (mg	/L as o	monthly  pH (etd. units)	average TP	except as no	Organic Nitrogen
Part IV: Recon Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8  Mar-May: 3.0  Jun-Nov: 1.0  Dec-Feb; 2.2  Mar-May: 1.2	itations are sevised   DO (minimum)  6.0	New TRC (delly max.)	tions (mg Fecal Colform (Ns./100ml) 290	/L 88 (	pH (etd. units)	average TP Monitor	except as no Ortho-P, NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2,0	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10	Permit Lim  NHs (so N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2	itations are sevised   DO (minimum)  6.0	New TRC (delly max.)	tions (mg Fecal Colform (Ns./100ml) 290	/L 88 (	pH (etd. units)	average TP Monitor	except as no Ortho-P, NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  Additional Comment	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8  Mar-May: 3.0  Jun-Nov: 1.0  Dec-Feb: 2.2  Mar-May: 1.2  Jun-Nov: 0.7	itations arevised  DO (minimum) 6.0	TRC (delly max.)	Fecal Coliforn (No./100m) 250	7L as a 188 30 20	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5	average TP Monitor	Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant	nmended as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7	itations are evised (a)  DO (minimum)  6.0	New TRC (delly max.) 0.011	Fecal Colforni (No./100ml) 290	7L as a TSS 30 20	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5	TP Monitor	except as no  Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN  Monitor	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority poliutant guidelines or idea	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limintified durin	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb; 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb; 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7 its, aquatic toxing review of po	itations are evised S  DO (minimum)  6.0  6.0	TRC (delly max.)	Fecal Colforni (No./100ml) 290	/L as a	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5	TP Monitor 1.0	Ortho-P, NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stream	nmended as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limintified durin	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7 its, aquatic toxing review of pere updated bas	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum)  6.0  6.0  clicity teeting armit applicated on a new	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag	Fecal Coliform (No./100m) 280 200 and and other be determine (02346316	T88 30 20 er paramed by t	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5  6.0 - 8.5	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular dischare	Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  categorical efflue tory Program.	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stress The new animoni	nmended as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limintified duringflows wer a limits for	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb; 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb; 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7 its, aquatic toxing review of pre-updated bas the 2.0 MGD d	itations are levised S  DO (minimum)  6.0  6.0  icity teeting armit applicated on a new lischarge, and service services are less thanks and services are less thanks are less	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag	Fecal Coliform (No./100m) 280 200 and and other be determine (02346316	T88 30 20 er paramed by t	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5  6.0 - 8.5	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular dischare	Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  categorical efflue tory Program.	Organic Nitrogen Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or ide The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb	nmended as acurrent to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit liminified duriumflows wer a limits for lient WQ Cr	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7 Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- re-updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Ammind DO permit i	itations are levised   DO (minimum)  6.0  6.0  icity teeting armit applicated on a new lischarge, are onle-Freahwallings are the limits are t	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag ad the currerator 2013.	Fecal Colforn (No./100ml) 290 200 and and other be determine (02346316 and ammoniants for the control of the co	TSS 30 20 er paramed by to b), upetra i limite in a li	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5  6.0 - 8.5  heters requires monthly the Wastewite am from the 3.0 Magnetities manufilities manu	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular edischarge (IGD discharge)	Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated
Part IV: Recom Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MgD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or ide The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb	nmended as acurrent to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit liminified duriumflows wer a limits for lient WQ Cr	Permit Limi  NHs (as N) Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7 Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- re-updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Ammind DO permit i	itations are levised   DO (minimum)  6.0  6.0  icity teeting armit applicated on a new lischarge, are onle-Freahwallings are the limits are t	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag ad the currerator 2013.	Fecal Colforn (No./100ml) 290 200 and and other be determine (02346316 and ammoniants for the control of the co	TSS 30 20 er paramed by to b), upetra i limite in a li	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5  6.0 - 8.5  heters requires monthly the Wastewite am from the 3.0 Magnetities manufilities manu	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular edischarge (IGD discharge)	Ortho-P, NO <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Sam Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stream The new ammont Aquatic Life Amb The new year-routhe current sesso	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10 9.0 9.0 is: permit liminatified during mail limits for int WQ Crimid BODs and interest and BODs and interest and BODs and interest by Cated Dose	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb; 4.8  Mar-May: 3.0  Jun-Nov: 1.0  Dec-Feb; 2.2  Mar-May: 1.2  Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic tox ng review of pere updated bas the 2.0 MGD diteria for Amm and DO permit il mased on instra	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS garded the current line facility destally gradient and line facility destally gradient gradient.	Fecal Coliform (No./100ml) 200  200  200  200  and and other be determined (02348310) and armonizated the 120 in the 120	TSS 30 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	pH (std. units)  8.0 - 8.5  6.0 - 8.5  neters require Wastewn from the Wastewn from the standard from the see limits of	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regula e discharge IGD di	Ortho-P, NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. e. irge meet the U.3  are recommende	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority poliutant guidelines or idea The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb The new year-rou the current seaso Original WLA ind recommended. T	nmended e as current to Creek  BOD;  10  9.0  is: permit limintified durin mflows wer a limits for ient WQ cri mnd BODs in mnd	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pire updated base the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit illustrated on instrated modelling of the flows into a flows into a	itations are sevised   DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag ad the current life control of the current life current life control of the current life current lif	Fecal Coliform (No./100ml) 290  200  200  and amounts for the comonstrate of the DO in the column of	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upatra limits i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 heters require Wastewn from the condition make similar conditions of the conditions	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regula a discharge GD di	Ortho-P, NO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. e. irge meet the U.3  are recommende	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority poliutant guidelines or idea The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb The new year-rou the current seaso Original WLA ind recommended. T	nmended e as current to Creek  BOD;  10  9.0  is: permit limintified durin mflows wer a limits for ient WQ Cr ient WQ Cr ient MQ Cr	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pire updated bas the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit illustrated on instrained on instrained ge flows into a y Line Rd and	itations are sevised  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag ad the current life control of the life	Fecal Coliform (No./100ml) 290  200  200  200  atta and other be determine (02346316) and ammoniate the polinital by 1.9 miles ilsoharge at	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t i), upatra ilimits i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 heters require Wastewn from the Wastewn from the see fimits of the	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regula a discharging discharging the met sand instraint instraint and instraint a	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb The new year-rout the current seaso Original WLA indirecommended. T from the discharg	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limi ntified durin mflows wer a limits for ient WQ Cr ient BOD, an ient Bimits is cated Dose licated Dose licated County pa at County	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pire updated base the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit il isseed on instrument of the pire updated base the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit il isseed on instrument of the pire in the pi	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag dithe current line a facility disably practical from the della proximate in from the della proximate in from the della gand Ortho	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  ats and other be determine (02346310 ant ammoniatrates to the point at the point at the point and point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point at th	T88 30 20 20 20 ar paramed by t )), upatr a limits i critical c i that th he recei comp i a small	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 meters require wastewn from the Wastewn from the seal filmits of the seal filmits of the seal filmits of the seal from t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular discharge disch	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  categorical effluetory Program.  e.  are recommended par-round.  Im DO monitoring part to moni	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority poliutant guidelines or idea The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb The new year-rou the current seaso Original WLA ind recommended. T	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limi ntified durin mflows wer a limits for ient WQ Cr ient BOD, an ient Bimits is cated Dose licated Dose licated County pa at County	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pire updated base the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit il isseed on instrument of the pire updated base the 2.0 MGD district for Ammind DO permit il isseed on instrument of the pire in the pi	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	TRC (delly max.) 0.011 requiremention are to USGS gag dithe current line a facility disably practical from the della proximate in from the della proximate in from the della gand Ortho	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  ats and other be determine (02346310 ant ammoniatrates to the point at the point at the point and point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point ammoniatrates the point at th	T88 30 20 20 20 ar paramed by t )), upatr a limits i critical c i that th he recei comp i a small	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 meters require wastewn from the Wastewn from the seal filmits of the seal filmits of the seal filmits of the seal from t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular discharge disch	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  categorical effluetory Program.  e.  are recommended par-round.  Im DO monitoring part to moni	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recom- Rationale: Sam- Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or ide The critical stress The new ammoni Aquatic Life Amb The new year-routhe current seaso Original WLA indirecommended. Tr	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit liminified duriumflows wer a limits for clent WQ Crind BOD, an chall limits is leaded bose the challenge of Countries and be analy	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Amm and DO permit il assed on instra ag modeling or ag flows into a y Line Rd and yzed from the a	itations are sevised Solutions are sevised Solutions are sevised Solutions and sevised solutions are sevised s	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention for the facility deadly predict proximate of the current of the	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upatr a limits i critical c i that th he recei downe i camp i camp i camp i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regulate discharge instruction in the control of	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The oritical stream Aquatic Life Amb The new year-rout the current seaso Original WLA indirecommended. T from the discharg	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit limi ntified durin mflows wer a limits for ient WQ Cr ient BOD, an ient Bimits is cated Dose licated Dose licated County pa at County	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Amm and DO permit il assed on instra ag modeling or ag flows into a y Line Rd and yzed from the a	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention are to Evident Control of the Con	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	T88 30 20 20 20 ar paramed by t )), upatr a limits i critical c i that th he recei comp i a small	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regular discharge disch	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Milliam DO monitorin  Milliam DO monitorin  Monitor  Milliam DO monitorin  Monitor  Milliam Monitorin  Milliam Monitorin  Milliam Monitorin  Milliam Monitorin  Milliam Milliam Milliam  Milliam Milliam Milliam  Milli	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recom- Rationale: Sam- Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or ide The critical stress The new ammoni Aquatic Life Amb The new year-routhe current seaso Original WLA indirecommended. Tr	nmended e as current to Creek BOD; 10 9.0 ts: permit liminified duriumflows wer a limits for clent WQ Crind BOD, an chall limits is leaded bose the challenge of Countries and be analy	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Amm and DO permit il assed on instra ag modeling or ag flows into a y Line Rd and yzed from the a	itations are sevised Solutions are sevised Solutions are sevised Solutions and sevised solutions are sevised s	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention for the facility deadly predict proximate of the current of the	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upstra ilmits i critical c i that the he recei camp i camp i camp i camp i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regulate discharge instruction in the control of	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stream of the current season or the current season or idea of t	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10 9.0 9.0 is: permit limin inflied durin inflied durin inter durin	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic toxing review of pre- updated base the 2.0 MGD diteria for Amm and DO permit il assed on instra ag modeling or ag flows into a y Line Rd and yzed from the a	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention for the facility deadly predict proximate of the current of the	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upstra ilmits i critical c i that the he recei camp i camp i camp i camp i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regulate discharge instruction in the control of	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stream of the current season or the current season or idea of t	nmended e as current to Creek BODs 10 9.0 9.0 is: permit limin inflied durin inflied durin inter durin	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic tox re updated bas the 2.0 MGD district for Amm and DO permit il leased on instruction of the second permit il lease on ins	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention for the facility deadly predict proximate of the current of the	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  200  200	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upstra ilmits i critical c i that the he recei camp i camp i camp i camp i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regulate discharge instruction in the control of	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont
Part IV: Recont Rationale: Same Location: Pota Effluent Flow Rate (MGD)  2.0  3.0  Additional Comment Priority pollutant guidelines or idea The critical stream of the current season or the current season or idea of t	mended e as current to Creek  BOD;  10  9.0  ts: permit liminified durinmflows wer a limits for lent WQ Cr and BOD; and limits is leated Dose the discharge at County and be analy Azarina C	Permit Limi  NHs (as N)  Dec-Feb: 4.8 Mar-May: 3.0 Jun-Nov: 1.0 Dec-Feb: 2.2 Mar-May: 1.2 Jun-Nov: 0.7  Its, aquatic tox re updated bas the 2.0 MGD district for Amm and DO permit il leased on instruction of the second permit il lease on ins	itations are sevised S  DO (minimum) 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0 6.0	requiremention are to USGS gag dithe currention for the facility deadly predict proximate of the current of the	Fecal Coliform (No./100mi) 290  200  200  200  200  200  atta and other be determine (02346310 ant ammoniatrates the DO in the light of	TSS 30 20 er paramed by t )), upstra ilmits i critical c i that the he recei camp i camp i camp i camp i	pH (std. units) 8.0 - 8.5 6.0 - 8.5 esters require Wastewie eam from the Soundition messe filmits or iving water tream. Can water tream. Can water tream and the can be called the called t	TP Monitor  1.0  red by the ster Regulate discharge instruction in the control of	Ortho-P, NO <sub>8</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> , TKN Monitor  Monitor  Monitor  Categorical efflue tory Program. ie. irge meet the U.S are recommende year-round. im DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring imm DO monitoring	Organic Nitrogen Calculated Calculated ont

## Appendix B

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase I NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

#### Stream Data (upstream of the discharge):

#### **Effluent Data:**

TSS:	10	mg/L
7Q10:	0.06	ft³/s
1Q10:	0.05	ft³/s
Mean flow:	11.00	ft³/s

TSS: 5.1 mg/L Flow: 2,000,000 gal/day

Flow:

ft3/s 3.09

#### Stream data (downstream of the discharge):

Hardness (at 7Q10):

31.2 mg/L

TSS (at 7Q10):

5.19 mg/L

Dilution factor (at average flow):

4.6

IWC (at average flow):

22

Dilution factor (at 7Q10):

1.02

IWC (at 7Q10):

98

Dilution factor (at 1Q10):

1.02

IWC (at 1Q10):

98

## Acute Water Quality Criteria (WQCAcute) - Metals:

Metal	K <sub>PO</sub>	α	$\mathbf{f_D}$	Maximum	Instream C <sub>D</sub>	WQC Acute	Action
				effluent C <sub>T</sub>			needed?
				(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	
Arsenic	4.80.E+05	-0.729	0.00	0.0	0.0	340.00	no
Cadmium	4.00.E+06	-1.131	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.65	no
Chromium III	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.21	5.4	1.1	219.49	no
Chromium VI	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.00	0.0	0.0	16.00	no
Copper	1.04.E+06	-0.744	0.39	7.7	2.93	4.49	yes
Lead	2.80.E+06	-0.800	0.00	0.0	0.0	17.81	no
Mercury				0.0082	0.0081	1.40	no
Nickel	4.90.E+05	-0.572	0.00	0.0	0.0	174.79	no
Zinc	1.25.E+06	-0.704	0.33	44,4	14.39	43.68	no

$$\mathbf{f}_{D} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{PO} \times TSS_{Instream}(mg/L) \stackrel{(1+\alpha)}{\sim} 10^{-6}} \qquad \text{Instream } C_{D} = \frac{Effluent \ C_{T}(mg/L) \times \mathbf{f}_{D}}{DF} \quad mg/L$$

$$Dilution Factor = \frac{Q_{Stream}(ft^3/sec) + Q_{Effluent}(ft^3/sec)}{Q_{Effluent}(ft^3/sec)}$$

## **Appendix B**

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase I NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

## Chronic Water Quality Criteria (WQC<sub>Chronic</sub>) - Metals:

Metal	K <sub>PO</sub>	α	$f_D$	Average effluent C <sub>T</sub>	Instream C <sub>D</sub>	WQC Chronic	Action needed?
				(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	
Arsenic	4.80.E+05	-0.729	0.00	0.0	0.0	150.00	no
Cadmium	4.00.E+06	-1.131	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.11	no
Chromium III	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.21	1.8	0.4	28.55	по
Chromium VI	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.00	0.0	0.0	11.00	no
Соррег	1.04.E+06	-0.744	0.39	4.78	1.81	3.31	yes
Lead	2.80.E+06	-0.800	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.69	no
Mercury				0.00432	0.004238	0.012	по
Nickel	4.90.E+05	-0.572	0.00	0.0	0.0	19.41	no
Zinc	1.25.E+06	-0.704	0.33	44.4	14.35	44.03	no

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{\mathrm{PO}} \times TSS_{\mathrm{Instream}} (mg/L)^{-(1+\alpha)} \times 10^{-6}} \qquad \qquad \text{Instream } C_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{Effluent \ C_{\mathrm{T}} (mg/L) \times \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{D}}}{DF} \quad mg/L$$

## Water Quality Criteria (WQC) - Non Metals:

Pollutant	Effluent C <sub>T</sub>	Instream	WQC	WQC/2	Action
		Concentration			needed?
	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(µg/L)	
Chloroform	2.7	0.59	470.0	235.0	no
Bis(2- ethylhexyl) phthalate	5,7	1,24	2.2	1.1	yes

#### NOTES:

- Water Quality Criteria (WQC) from State of Georgia Rules and Regulations 391-3-6-.03.
- If the calculated instream concentration is less than 50% of the instream water quality criteria, then the constinuent will be considered not to be present at levels of concern.
- If the calculated instream concentration is greater than 50% of the instream water quality criteria, then additional monitoring may be required or a permit limit for that constinuent may be included in the permit.

## Appendix B

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase I NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

#### **Total Recoverable Metal Effluent Limit**

Metal	Cs	Chronic C <sub>T</sub>	Chronic C <sub>T</sub>	Acute C <sub>T</sub>	Acute C <sub>T</sub>	
	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(Kg/day)	(μg/L)	(Kg/day)	
Arsenic	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Cadmium	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chromium III	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Chromium VI	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Соррег	0.0	8.56	0.065	11.60	0.088	
Lead	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Mercury	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Nickel	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Zinc	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

#### NOTES:

(1) Chronic and acute total recoverable metal effluent concentration (C<sub>T</sub>) from EPA 823-B-96-007, June 1996, page 33:

Chronic 
$$C_T = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Chronic}}{f_D} \times (Q_E + 7Q10) - (7Q10 \times C_S)}{Q_E}$$

$$Acute C_T = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Cute}}{f_D} \times (Q_E + 1Q10) - (1Q10 \times C_S)}{Q_E}$$

(2) Assuming background dissolved metal concentration (C<sub>S</sub>) in the stream is 0 µg/L, equations above become:

$$Chronic C_{T} = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Chronic}}{f_{D}} \times (Q_{E} + 7Q10)}{Q_{E}}$$

$$Acute C_{T} = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Acute}}{f_{D}} \times (Q_{E} + 1Q10)}{Q_{E}}$$

## Appendix B

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase II NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

#### Stream Data (upstream of the discharge):

#### **Effluent Data:**

TSS:	10	mg/L	TSS:	5.1	mg/L
7Q10:	0.06	ft³/s	Flow:	3,000,000	gal/day
1Q10:	0.05	ft³/s	Flow:	4.64	ft³/s
Mean flow:	11.00	ft <sup>3</sup> /s			

mg/L

#### Stream data (downstream of the discharge):

Hardness (at 7Q10):

	4.5		
5.16	mg/L		
3.4		iWC (at average flow):	30
1.01		IWC (at 7Q10):	99
1.01		IWC (at 1Q10):	99
	3.4 1.01	3.4 1.01	3.4 iWC (at average flow): 1.01 iWC (at 7Q10):

31,2

## Acute Water Quality Criteria (WQCAcute) - Metals:

Metal	K <sub>PO</sub>	α	$f_D$	Maximum	Instream C <sub>D</sub>	WQC Acute	Action
				effluent C <sub>T</sub>			needed?
				(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(μ <b>g</b> /L)	
Arsenic	4.80.E+05	-0.729	0.00	0.0	0.0	340.00	no
Cadmium	4.00.E+06	-1.131	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.65	no
Chromium III	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.21	5.4	1.1	219.49	no
Chromium VI	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.00	0.0	0.0	16.00	no
Copper	1.04.E+06	-0.744	0.39	7.7	2.95	4.49	yes
Lead	2.80.E+06	-0.800	0.00	0.0	0.0	17.81	no
Mercury				0.0082	0.0081	1.40	no
Nickel	4.90.E+05	-0.572	0.00	0.0	0.0	174.79	no
Zinc	1.25.E+06	-0.704	0.33	44.4	14.48	43.68	no

$$f_{D} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{PO} \times TSS_{Instream}(mg/L)} \qquad \text{Instream } C_{D} = \frac{Effluent \ C_{T}(mg/L) \times f_{D}}{DF} \quad mg/L$$

Dilution Factor = 
$$\frac{Q_{Stream}(ft^3/sec) + Q_{Effluent}(ft^3/sec)}{Q_{Effluent}(ft^3/sec)}$$

## Appendix B

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase II NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

## **Chronic** Water Quality Criteria (WQC<sub>Chronic</sub>) - Metals:

Metal	K <sub>PO</sub>	α	f <sub>D</sub>	Average	Instream C <sub>D</sub>	WQC Chronic	Action
				effluent C <sub>T</sub>			needed?
				(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	
Arsenic	4.80.E+05	-0.729	0.00	0.0	0.0	150.00	no
Cadmium	4.00.E+06	-1.131	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.11	по
Chromium III	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.21	1.8	0.4	28.55	по
Chromium VI	3.36.E+06	-0.930	0.00	0.0	0.0	11.00	no
Соррег	1.04.E+06	-0.744	0.39	4.78	1.83	3.31	yes
Lead	2.80.E+06	-0.800	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.69	no
Mercury				0.00432	0.004265	0.012	no
Nickel	4.90.E+05	-0.572	0.00	0.0	0.0	19.41	no
Zinc	1.25.E+06	-0.704	0.33	44.4	14.45	44.03	no

$$\mathbf{f}_{D} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{PO} \times TSS_{Instream}(mg/L)} (mg/L)^{(1+\alpha)} \times 10^{-6}$$
 Instream  $C_{D} = \frac{Effluent \ C_{T}(mg/L) \times f_{D}}{DF}$  mg/L

## Water Quality Criteria (WQC) - Non Metals:

Pollutant	Effluent C <sub>T</sub>	Instream	WQC	WQC/2	Action
		Concentration			needed?
	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	(μg/L)	
Chloroform	2.7	0.79	470.0	235.0	no
Bis(2- ethylhexyl) phthalate	5.7	1.68	2.2	1.1	yes

#### NOTES:

- Water Quality Criteria (WQC) from State of Georgia Rules and Regulations 391-3-6-.03.
- If the calculated instream concentration is less than 50% of the instream water quality criteria, then the constinuent will be considered not to be present at levels of concern.
- If the calculated instream concentration is greater than 50% of the instream water quality criteria, then additional monitoring may be required or a permit limit for that constinuent may be included in the permit.

## Appendix B

## Potato Creek WPCP - Phase II NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

#### **Total Recoverable Metal Effluent Limit**

Metal	$C_8$	Chronic C <sub>T</sub>	Chronic C <sub>T</sub>	Acute C <sub>T</sub>	Acute C <sub>T</sub>
	(µg/L)	(μg/L)	(Kg/day)	(μg/L)	(Kg/day)
Arsenic	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cadmium	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Chromium III	0.0	N/A	N/A ·	N/A	N/A
Chromium VI	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Copper	0.0	8.55	0.097	11.59	0.132
Lead	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mercury	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Nickel	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Zinc	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### NOTES:

(1) Chronic and acute total recoverable metal effluent concentration (C<sub>T</sub>) from EPA 823-B-96-007, June 1996, page 33:

$$Chronic \ C_{T} = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Chronic}}{f_{D}} \times (Q_{E} + 7Q10) - (7Q10 \times C_{S})}{Q_{E}} \qquad Acute C_{T} = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Acute}}{f_{D}} \times (Q_{E} + 1Q10) - (1Q10 \times C_{S})}{Q_{E}}$$

(2) Assuming background dissolved metal concentration (C<sub>s</sub>) in the stream is 0 µg/L, equations above become:

Chronic 
$$C_T = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Chronic}}{f_D} \times (Q_E + 7Q10)}{Q_E}$$
Acute  $C_T = \frac{\frac{WQC_{Acute}}{f_D} \times (Q_E + 1Q10)}{Q_E}$ 

## Appendix C

## Spalding County - Potato Creek WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

## **WET Test PMSD Values:**

PMSD = Minimum Significant Data (MSD) / Control Mean x 100 %

WET Test #1		6/2014			-
Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			26.70	With
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			12.50	With
WET Test #2		6/2015			
Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	7
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			8.5	Lowe
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			17.7	Withi
WET Test #3		6/2016			
Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			25.0	With
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			15.0	With
WET Test #4		8/2016			
Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	7
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			9.9	Lowe
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			16.9	Withi
WET Test #5		11/2016			
WET Test #5 Species	PMSD Bounds	11/2016 MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	
Species			Control Mean	PMSD 18.3	Withi
Species Water Flea (C. dubia)	PMSD Bounds		Control Mean		
Species Water Flea (C. dubia)	PMSD Bounds	MSD 		18.3	
Species Water Flea (C. dubia) Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	PMSD Bounds	MSD  		18.3	Withi
Species Water Flea (C. dubia) Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)  WET Test #6	PMSD Bounds 13-47 12-30	MSD   4/2017		18.3 29.5	

12-30

13.8

Within

Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)

## Appendix C

## Spalding County - Potato Creek WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

### **WET Test PMSD Values:**

PMSD = Minimum Significant Data (MSD) / Control Mean x 100 %

## WET Test #7

## 4/2018

Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	]
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			17.2	Within
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30	_		7.8	Lower

## WET Test #8

### 6/2018

Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	1
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			26.7	Within
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			10.6	Lower

#### WET Test #9

#### 4/2019

1127 1006 117	Ties	7/201/			
Species	PMSD Bounds	MSD	Control Mean	PMSD	7
Water Flea (C. dubia)	13-47			16.3	Within
Fathead Minnow (P. promelas)	12-30			21.7	Within

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin-Potato Creek WPCP 2.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL Comments: December - February

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5

Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.29
December-February Critical Stream Temperature (Celsius): 14.0

December-February 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 3.1

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 2 3.10

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 6.20

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 4.8

if 4.8 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa Iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion): CCC =  $0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-MAX(T,7))})$ 

Allowable Instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 2.41

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia In Fresh Water As Revised In Year 2013

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin-Potato Creek WPCP 2.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL

Comments: March - May

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5

Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.22

March - May Critical Stream Temperature (Celsius): 25.0

March - May 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 4.5

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 2 3.10

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 7.60

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 3.0

If 3.0 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion):  $CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.888 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.888)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-MAX(T.7))})$ 

Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 1.23

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia In Fresh Water As Revised In Year 2013

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin-Potato Creek WPCP 2.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL Comments: June - November

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5

Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.60

June - November Critical Temperature (Celsius): 28

June - November 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 0.9

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 2 3.10

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 4.00

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 1.0

If 1.0 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa Iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion):  $CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.888)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20 - MAX(T,7))})$ 

Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 0.76

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia in Fresh Water As Revised in Year 2013

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin Potato Creek WPCP 3.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL Comments: December - February

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5

Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.38

December-February Critical Stream Temperature (Celsius): 14.0

December-February 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 3.1

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 3 4.64

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 7.74

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 3.7

If 3.7 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa Iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion):  $CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.888 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.888)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-MAX(T,7))})$ 

Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 2.26

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia In Fresh Water As Revised In Year 2013

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin Potato Creek WPCP 3.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL

Comments: March - May

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5 Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.29

March - May Critical Stream Temperature (Celsius): 25.0

March - May 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 4.5

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 3 4.64

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 9.14

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 2.3

If 2.3 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion): CCC =  $0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.886 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.888)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-MAX(T,7))})$ 

Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 1.18

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia In Fresh Water As Revised In Year 2013

Date: 2/12/2019

Facility: Griffin Potato Creek WPCP 3.0 MGD

NPDES Permit Number: GA0030791 Receiving Stream: Potato Creek

Engineer: AZARINA CARMICAL Comments: June - November

#### Stream and Facility Data:

Background Stream pH (standard units): 7.0

Effluent pH (standard units): 8.5
Final Stream pH (standard units): 7.72

June - November Critical Temperature (Celsius): 28

June - November 30Q3 Streamflow (cfs): 0.9

Stream background concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L): 0.05

Facility Discharge (MGD/cfs): 3 4.64

Total Combined Flow (cfs): 5.54

Effluent concentration (Total NH3-N, mg/L) = 0.8

If 0.8 is greater than 17.4 mg/L, use 17.4 mg/L in WLA modeling.

#### Chronic Criterion based on Villosa Iris (Rainbow mussel):

Instream CCC = criterion continuous concentration (chronic criterion):  $CCC = 0.8876 \times (0.0278 / (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)}) + 1.1994 / (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})) \times (2.126 \times 10^{0.028 \times (20-MAX(T.7))})$ 

Allowable instream concentration CCC (Total NH3-N, mg/l) = 0.66

Based on National Criterion For Ammonia In Fresh Water As Revised in Year 2013

## Appendix E

## Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

Regulatory Letters

## Georgia Department of Natural Resources

Environmental Protection Division • Watershed Protection Branch
2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive • Suite 1152 East • Atlanta • Georgia 30334
(404) 463-1511; Fax (404) 656-2453
Judson H. Turner, Director

June 24, 2015

Dr. Brant Keller, Ph. D., Director Department of Public Works & Utilities City of Griffin P.O. Box T Griffin, Georgia 30224

RE:

City of Griffin – Potato Creek WPCP NPDES Permit No. GA0030791 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol Monitoring (Spalding County)

Dear Dr. Keller:

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division has received your request to remove the monitoring requirements for 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol in the above-referenced permit. We have completed our evaluation of 10 months of monitoring data and determined that 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol in the effluent has no potential to cause or contribute to a water quality standards violation in the receiving stream; therefore, the City is no longer required to monitor for this pollutant.

Should you have any questions, please contact Benoît Causse of my staff at (404) 463-4958 or via e-mail at benoît.causse@dnr.state.ga.us.

Sincerely.

Gigi Steele, Manager Municipal Permitting Unit Wastewater Regulatory Program

#### GMS\bsc

CC:

Mr. Hsin-Sheng Yeh, Municipal Compliance Unit

Mr. Ted Hendrickx, Wastewater Regulatory Information Unit



## Richard E. Dunn, Director

Watershed Protection Branch 2 Martin Luther King, Jr. Drive Suite 1152, East Tower Atlanta, Georgia 30334 404-463-1511

SEP 08 2017

Dr. Brant Keller, Public Works Director City of Griffin PO Box T Griffin, Georgia 30224

RE: Potato Creek Water Pollution Control Plant NPDES Permit No. GA0030791

Operability Inspection (Spalding County)

Dear Dr. Keller:

On August 23, 2017, an inspection was conducted to verify that the facility was ready to begin operation. Although some equipment was still being tested (UV system) or awaiting parts (diffused air system) at the time of inspection, no major deficiencies were found.

Based on conversation with Mr. Cook, it is our understanding that the City would like to operate the new Potato Creek WPCP under the current B.1 effluent limitations (2.0 MGD) to verify performance and ability to consistently meet the new limits prior to being authorized to operate under the B.2 limits (3.0 MGD). We have no objections to the proposal.

Please contact this office when the plant is ready to start operation at the expanded flow. Your engineer must certify that the project has been constructed in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. We also request that an operation manual be submitted electronically for our files.

If you have any questions regarding this correspondence, feel free to contact me at (404) 463-4958 or benoit.causse@dnr.ga.gov.

Singerely,

Benoit Causse

Municipal Permitting Unit
Wastewater Regulatory Program

cc:

Mr. Joseph Johnson, PE, City of Griffin (jjohnson@cityofgriffin.com)

Mr. Aaron Cook, City of Griffin (acook@cityofgriffin.com)

Mr. Charles Penny, Paragon Consulting Group (cpenny@pcgeng.com)

Mr. Hsin Yeh, EPD Municipal Compliance Unit (Hsin-Sheng. Yeh@dnr.ga.gov)